FOOD PREPARATION:



ON THE SABBATH?

by Larry and June Acheson

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
1. The Purpose of the Sabbath	
2. The Definition of "Work"	
3. Evidence From Yahweh's Holy Days	
4. "No Work" vs. "No Servile Work"	
5. Evidence From History	
6. Warming up Leftovers on the Sabbath	
7. Defining the Word "Prepare"	
8. Does 21st Century Technology Overrule Yahweh's Word?	19
9. Preparation of the Temple Shewbread	
10. Burnt Offerings on the Sabbath?	25
11. More Historical Documentation	26
12. Fasting on the Sabbath?	29
13. (Inadvertent) Jewish Admissions	31
14. A Jewish Double Standard	35
15. The Liberal Interpretation of Exodus 16:23	
17. Does it Really Matter?	
18. Other Scriptures Considered: Kindling a Fire on the Sab	
19. What have we done?	44
Bibliography	46
Some words to review:	49

www.ponderscripture.org/articles.html

FOOD PREPARATION:

On the Sabbath?

Introduction

Te are children of the Creator of the universe: He is our Father! Those individuals who recognize the truthfulness of the above statement fall into one of two categories -- those who understand the importance of obeying the Creator, and those who do not! If you do **not** place momentous value on obedience to Him, then we suggest that you request our article entitled "The Naïveté Challenge: Why I Observe the Seventh Day Sabbath" instead of proceeding any further with this article. Many who **do** strive to live by the Almighty's rules have chosen to obey the fourth commandment (in addition to the other nine). They do so because they have found that, contrary to what they may have been raised to believe, the Messiah **never** taught that He had come to destroy the law (of which the seventh-day Sabbath is a part).

TO HONOR HIM ON HIS SABBATH DAY, HE WANTS US TO SET IT APART ALSO!

Once we remove the roadblocks that once kept us from understanding the purpose of Yahweh's Sabbath day, as well as experiencing the joy that comes from honoring Him by setting that day aside, other obstacles present themselves. One of the more controversial "obstacles" centers around the preparation of food on the Sabbath day. Some religious organizations teach that it is wrong to cook on the Sabbath. Others permit it. Still others reject the act of cooking a raw food item while simultaneously giving their blessing to any individual wishing to "warm up" leftovers on that day. Thus, there are a variety of interpretations of what the Scriptures actually teach on this subject. It is our responsibility to weigh each teaching in the light of Scripture, and discern just what it is that Yahweh wants us to do with regard to preparing food on the Sabbath. Our investigation will make use of historical evidence as well.

1. The Purpose of the Sabbath

The Sabbath is the day that Yahweh set apart for rest from His work of Creation. It will forever remain our memorial to that special day of so long ago. To honor Him on that day, He wants us to set it apart also! His Word instructs us to use the Sabbath day for worship and fellowship. But Yahweh's Word also makes it clear that the Sabbath is a day to cease from all manner of work. For us to be able to properly enjoy the Sabbath, Yahweh specified that we are to prepare for it, so that when it comes, no work will have to be done. Appropriately, the day before the Sabbath is called the "day of preparation," as evidenced from Mark 15:42:

And now when the even was come, because it was the <u>preparation</u>, that is, the day before the Sabbath,...

Before we proceed with how to approach the controversial obstacle of "how prepared" we are to be for the Sabbath, let us read the fourth commandment as it is found in Exodus 20:8-11:

Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy.

Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:

But the seventh day is the Sabbath of Yahweh thy Almighty: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates:

For in six days Yahweh made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore Yahweh blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.

As we examine this passage, we can readily determine that Yahweh does not *specifically prohibit* the preparation of food on the weekly Sabbath day, at least not in this particular passage. He "merely" prohibits doing any work on that day. The obvious questions that we are now faced with are, "How do we define 'work'?" and "Does the preparation of food constitute 'work'?" Let us proceed to address these questions.

2. The Definition of "Work"

The Hebrew word translated "work" in Exodus 20:10 is word #4399 in *Strong's Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary*, and is pronounced "melakah":

4399. מְלֹאכְה **m 'lâ'kâh**, *mel-aw-kaw'*; from the same as 4397; prop. *deputyship*, i.e. ministry; gen. *employment* (never servile) or Work (abstr. Or concr.); also *property* (as the result of *labor*):—business, + cattle, + industrious, occupation, (+-pied), + officer, thing (made), use (manner of) work ([-man], -manship).

As denoted above by *Strong's*, the word *melakah* can be used to describe any work in general, such as employment, business, industrious, thing (made), or workmanship. For example, when Yahweh rested from His work (melakah) in Genesis 2:3, He rested from His "thing made," i.e., His creation. This definition is supported by *The New Grolier Webster International Dictionary of the English Language*, volume II:

work, wurk, n. [O.E. weorc = D. and G. work = Icel. verk, work; akin to Goth. waurkjan, to work, also to Gr. ergon, work, organon, instrument, E. organ.] Exertion directed to produce or accomplish something; labor; toil; productive or operative activity; as, to make a machine to work; activity undertaken in return for payment, as in wages; employment; a job; a place where one is employed; as, not at home but at work; that on which exertion or labor is expended; a product of activity or labor; as, a literary work; needlework or embroidery; an engineering structure, as a bridge or dock; workmanship; as to do good work; a task or undertaking; as, one's life's work; a deed or act; pl., theol. righteous deeds or acts. Pl. but usu. sing. in constr. a place or establishment for carrying on some form of labor or industry; as, an iron works; the working parts of a mechanical apparatus; as, the works of a clock. A froth produced during fermentation, as in making cider or vinegar; phys. the transfer of energy from one body or system to another.

As demonstrated by this reference, "work" can be defined as "Exertion directed to produce or accomplish something: labor; toil; productive or operative activity; activity undertaken in return for payment, as in wages; employment; a product of activity or labor, as a literary *work*...."

With the understanding that the Hebrew word "melakah" is in complete harmony with our English word for "work," we must now determine whether or not any work (labor) is involved in the preparation of food, in light of the definitions that we've just listed. Some individuals insist that food preparation does not involve labor. Others are equally insistent that it does. Does Yahweh's Word resolve the matter? The answer is yes!

3. Evidence From Yahweh's Holy Days

In Exodus chapter 12, Yahweh gave the Israelites instructions for observing the Feast of Unleavened Bread. The first and last days of this feast are "holy days," which means that they are treated exactly the same as is the seventh day Sabbath **WITH ONE EXCEPTION**. Let's read what that exception is, as we display Exodus 12:15-16:

Seven days shall ye eat unleavened bread; even the first day ye shall put away leaven out of your houses: for whosoever eateth leavened bread from the first day until the seventh day, that soul shall be cut off from Israel.

And in the first day there shall be an holy convocation, and in the seventh day there shall be an holy convocation to you; no manner of work shall be done in them, SAVE THAT WHICH EVERY MAN MUST EAT, THAT ONLY MAY BE DONE OF YOU.

As this passage reveals, no manner of work is allowed on the holy days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread EXCEPT to prepare food. Since food preparation is listed as an EXCEPTION to the "no work" rule for holy day Sabbaths, it must of necessity be considered work that is NOT an exception for the seventh day Sabbath. We need to be very cautious in how we interpret Yahweh's Word! How do we *know* that Yahweh defines food preparation as "work"? Because He made THAT form of work an ACCEPTABLE form of work for holy day Sabbaths of the Feast of Unleavened Bread in Exodus 12:16! Since food preparation is made an EXCEPTION to the rule for those Sabbaths only, then it must *not* be an exception to the rule for the weekly Sabbath!

Someone might argue that "food preparation" isn't specifically mentioned in Exodus 12:16, and therefore conclude that the expression "that which every man must eat" does not necessarily refer to food PREPARATION, but rather to food GATHERING. In other words, while plainly acknowledging that the *gathering of food* is prohibited on the seventh day Sabbath, there are those who feel that *food preparation* on the Sabbath was never spoken against in Yahweh's Word. Therefore, they reason that since food preparation is not specifically mentioned in Exodus 12:16, it is not necessarily *referred* to. Let us examine this line of reasoning in order to see if this could in fact be possible. Again, let us refer to the last part of Exodus 12:16 as it appears in the *King James Version* of the Bible:

...no manner of work shall be done in them, save that which every man must eat, that only may be DONE of you.

Notice the word "done" that we emphasized in this verse. This word is word #6213 in *Strong's Hebrew* and *Chaldee Dictionary*, משה, pronounced "ahsah," and can be translated in various ways, such as "to do," "to make," "to prepare," and yes, it can even be rendered "to labor" (c.f. Proverbs 21:25). However,

Since food preparation is made an EXCEPTION to the "no work" rule for the holy day Sabbaths *only*, then it must NOT be an exception to the rule for the weekly Sabbath!

let's focus our attention on the translation "to prepare," as we display the *Revised Standard Version's* translation of the last portion of Exodus 12:16:

...no work shall be done on those days; but what every one must eat, that only may be PREPARED [יעשֶׁה, ahsah] by you.

This version reveals that, indeed, this word can be properly translated "prepared." Furthermore, let us examine one more passage in which this same Hebrew word is used. Shown below is the *King James Version's* rendering of Genesis 27:17:

And she [Rebekah] gave the savoury meat and the bread, which she had PREPARED [משחה, ahsah] into the hand of her son Jacob.

We need to emphasize that this verse does *not* make reference to food GATHERED, but to food that was PREPARED. Equipped with this understanding of the word "ahsah," we can ascertain that Exodus 12:16 is given as Yahweh's authorization to "prepare" [ahsah] food on the <u>holy day sabbaths</u>, as opposed to the regular weekly Sabbath. His allowing food preparation on the holy day sabbaths as an <u>exception</u> to the regular Sabbath "no work rule" clearly distinguishes those holy days from the "<u>non-exception principle</u>" as mandated on the seventh-day Sabbath. Based upon the evidence just presented, it is clear that no manner of work is allowed on the holy days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread <u>EXCEPT</u> to *prepare food*.

We have just shown the one identifying mark that separates the holy days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread from the seventh day Sabbath. Yet this same mark of separation can be applied to the other holy days as well. These include Pentecost, Trumpets and the first and "Last Great Day" of the Feast of Tabernacles.

Yahweh's instructions for the observance of His holy days are given in detail in the 23rd chapter of Leviticus. In fact, He even repeats His instructions for observing the weekly Sabbath in that chapter. In Leviticus 23:3, when referring to the seventh day Sabbath, Yahweh again instructs us that "...ye shall do **no work** therein...." We have already revealed the distinction made between the Feast of Unleavened Bread holy days ("no work save that which every man must eat") and the weekly Sabbath (<u>no work</u>), so we will skip the mentioning of that particular feast in Leviticus for now. We will therefore move on to the **next** feast mentioned in Leviticus 23, which is the Feast of Weeks, or Pentecost. Notice the directive given in Leviticus 23:21 for how we are to observe this feast:

And ye shall proclaim on the selfsame day, that it may be an holy convocation unto you: ye shall do <u>no</u> <u>servile</u> <u>work</u> therein: it shall be a statute for ever in all your dwellings throughout your generations.

Notice the words "no servile work" in reference to Pentecost. These same, exact words are used in the instructions for other holy days as well, but NEVER for the weekly Sabbath. *Why not?* Just what does Yahweh mean by "no servile work" on His holy days?

4. "No Work" vs. "No Servile Work"

Te have already researched the word translated "work." It is the Hebrew word מלאכה (melakah), word #4399 in Strong's, and it can be used in reference to any work in general. However, the word translated "servile" is one that we have not yet covered. It is the Hebrew word שברה, pronounced "abodah," and is word #5656 in Strong's. Among its several related meanings are: "Work of any kind," "bondage," "labor," and "service." This same Hebrew word, for example, is translated "bondservant" [service (of a slave)] in Leviticus 25:39. It is translated "service" (of the Levites) in Ezra 8:20, as "service" of the house of the Almighty) in I Chronicles 9:13, and as "service" (of Yahweh) in Joshua 22:27.

The translation "no servile work" is the one that readers of the *King James Version* are familiar with, but those who use the *Revised Standard Version* read "no laborious work." The *New International Version* renders the expression as "no regular work." The expression "no servile work" is easy enough to understand. Simply put, it means "the work of a servant." Therefore, we are given the green light to work on the holy day of a feast, as long as it is not the work of a servant. We perform the work of a servant when we work for other people in exchange for some type of compensation, usually in the form of money. This type of work is not allowed on holy day Sabbaths (nor on the weekly Sabbath!); however, it *is* permissible to perform *other* forms of work, such as those pertaining to our daily personal needs. Most notable of our daily needs is the chore of preparing our meals. This form of work is permitted on the holy days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread, as we have already observed from Exodus 12:16. Can we apply this to the other holy days as well? Yes, we can, for when we read Yahweh's instructions for the holy days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread in Leviticus 23:6-8, we can well note that, although He does *not* in that particular passage impart that we are to do "no manner of work save that which every man must eat" on those holy days (as He did in Exodus 12:16), He nevertheless *does* use the same expression that He uses for the other holy day Sabbaths in Leviticus 23: "No servile work."

Since Yahweh specifically allows us to prepare food on the holy day Sabbaths of Unleavened Bread in Exodus 12, is it not logical to conclude that His use of the term "no servile work" to describe the permissible work in Leviticus 23 is likewise a reference to His allowing us to prepare our food during those holy days (as opposed to preparing it on the seventh day Sabbath)? Additionally, does it not also stand to reason that if "no servile work" for *Unleavened Bread* holy day Sabbaths signals the freedom to prepare food on *those* holy days, then wouldn't "no servile work" as used in reference to <u>other</u> holy days of the year likewise indicate the freedom to prepare food on those days? Notice the directives given throughout Leviticus 23 for the holy day Sabbaths:

First day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread (v. 7): "... ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

Seventh day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread (v.8): "... ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

Feast of Weeks (v. 21): "... ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

Feast of Trumpets (v. 25): "... Ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

First day of the Feast of Tabernacles (v. 35): "... ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

Eighth day of the Feast of Tabernacles (v. 36): "... ye shall do no <u>servile</u> work therein."

Interestingly, there *is* one holy day Sabbath on which NO MANNER of work is allowed! Not only is servile work not permitted, NO WORK is permitted! Which day are we referring to? It is the Day of Atonement -- the day of the Fast -- AN OBVIOUS OCCASION TO NOT BE PREPARING FOOD! The fact that only "servile work" is prohibited on the other holy days demonstrates that on days on which "no

work" is allowed, no food preparation is allowed, either! Shown below are Yahweh's instructions for the Day of Atonement, as found in Leviticus 23:28:

And ye shall do \underline{no} work in that same day: for it is a day of atonement, to make an atonement for you before Yahweh your Almighty.

Notice that it is only when Yahweh specifically forbids "servile work" that He simultaneously permits food to be prepared, and the fact that *no work at all* is permitted on the Day of Atonement further confirms that "no servile work" is truly the line of demarcation between whether or not food preparation is allowed on a certain day. If Yahweh only prohibited *servile work* on the Day of Atonement, this would indicate His sanctioning of food preparation on that day. Since the Day of Atonement is a *day of fasting*, it becomes clear as to "why" it is a day on which Yahweh outlaws *all manner of work*.

Before moving on to our next section, let's have a little quiz to test your memory:

Quiz

What manner of work is prohibited on the weekly Sabbath?

A. All manner of work

B. Only servile work

For the answer, we refer you to Exodus 20:8-11.

5. Evidence From History

he history of the Jewish people reveals that the act of preparing food was without a doubt the separating factor between the weekly Sabbath and the "holy day Sabbath" days. In his book *Judaism: Practice and Belief, 63 BCE - 66 CE*, page 425, E. P. Sanders makes the following notation regarding the holy days:

During the festivals, ...there are six days that the Bible commands are to be treated like Sabbaths, with one exception: it permits the work involved in the preparation of food that would be eaten that day (Lev. 23; Ex. 12.16).

The "one exception" alluded to by Mr. Sanders is, as already mentioned, the Day of Atonement. Sanders' book merely reveals a custom that is widely recognized by all, including the Jews themselves: Food preparation was sanctioned on the holy day "Sabbaths," but *prohibited* on the weekly Sabbath.

Confirming this same understanding is Keil and Delitzsch's *Commentary on the Old Testament*, Volume 1, p. 333, where we read the following explanation pertaining to the first and last days of the Feast of Unleavened Bread:

No work was to be done on these days, except what was necessary for the preparation of food; on the Sabbath, even this was prohibited (ch. 35:2,3).

Yahweh did not tell them to prepare one portion of manna for that sixth day and then prepare the other portion on the Sabbath; instead, He told them to prepare \underline{ALL} of it on the sixth day (Exodus 16:5).

For those who remain unconvinced of the characteristic separating the holy day "Sabbaths" from the seventh day Sabbath, in spite of the evidence thus far presented, there is a verse of Scripture that removes all doubt. In Exodus 16, we read about Yahweh providing the manna for the Israelites. Let's read the preparation instructions He gave to Moses concerning this miracle as found in Exodus 16:4-5:

Then said Yahweh unto Moses, Behold, I will rain bread from heaven for you; and the people shall go out and gather a certain rate every day, that I may prove them, whether they will walk in my law, or no.

And it shall come to pass, that ON THE SIXTH DAY THEY SHALL PREPARE THAT WHICH THEY BRING IN; and it shall be twice as much as they gather daily.

Notice that Yahweh did *not* say, "On the Sabbath day they shall prepare;" no, He told them to prepare on the <u>sixth</u> day of the week. On that sixth day, they were to prepare a *DOUBLE PORTION*, meaning that half of that portion, already prepared, was to be saved for consumption on the Sabbath day. Yahweh, again, did *not* tell them to prepare one portion for that sixth day and then prepare the other portion on the Sabbath; instead, He told them to prepare <u>ALL</u> of it on the SIXTH DAY.

Various references support that, indeed, the Israelites understood and practiced this manner of Sabbath observance. The *New Bible Dictionary*, pages 734-735, provides the following insight:

Usually it [the manna] did not keep overnight but became maggoty and malodorous if left over, but the manna to be kept for Sabbath use was preserved by being cooked or baked <u>beforehand</u> (Ex. 16:4-5, 16-30).

The International Bible Commentary, page 167, adds this analysis:

Manna baked or boiled on the eve of Sabbath did not come under the prohibition of v. 19.

The prohibition of verse 19 was that no one was to keep any of the manna overnight for consumption the next day. This prohibition was waived on the sixth day of the week, as they were to take in the double portion on the sixth day and prepare their "Sabbath allotment" *before* the Sabbath began. Unlike the other five days of manna provision, the manna of the sixth day was preserved by Yahweh so that it could be eaten on the Sabbath day as well!

The book *Jesus and His Times*, page 99, offers additional insight with regard to the importance of the sixth day of the week -- "the preparation":

Because no work was to be done on the Sabbath, Friday afternoon was an especially busy time. The men finished up their week's work and the women cleaned with special care, refilling lamps, <u>preparing meals in advance</u>, and laundering clothing.

As this and other historical references validate, the custom of ancient Israel was to prepare all meals *in advance of* the Sabbath. J. Julius Scott, Jr., in his book *Customs and Controversies*, page 253, confirms this historical fact. He writes:

The Sabbath meal, usually on Friday evening, was a special occasion for the family and its guests; of course the meal had been prepared before the actual arrival of the Sabbath. Both Josephus and Philo note that the Sabbath was occupied with the study of the law.

The historical evidence is compelling. It is clear that the believers of Old understood that *all* food had to be prepared in advance of the Sabbath.

6. Warming up Leftovers on the Sabbath

Sabbath. Nevertheless, there will be some who will require a specific definition of "food preparation." One man will say, "Hey, if I just stick a leftover in the microwave for a few seconds, I'm not 'preparing' anything! I'm just warming it up!" Is it okay to apply heat to food on the Sabbath, whether it be for the first time or the second? Well, if we continue reading the account of the manna in Exodus 16, we can find the answer. Let's read Exodus 16:22-25:

And it came to pass, that on the sixth day they gathered twice as much bread, two omers for one man: and all the rulers of the congregation came and told Moses.

And he said unto them, This is that which Yahweh hath said, '<u>Tomorrow is</u> the rest of the holy Sabbath unto Yahweh: bake that which ye will bake, and seethe [boil] that ye will seethe; and that which remaineth over lay up for you to be kept until the morning.

And they laid it up till the morning, as Moses bade: and it did not stink, neither was there any worm therein.

And Moses said, Eat that today; for today is a Sabbath unto Yahweh: today ye shall not find it in the field.

In this passage, Moses enjoins the Israelite leaders, in so many words, to prepare their double portion of manna (that they found on the sixth day) *on that selfsame day*. The manna that was prepared, but not consumed, was to be kept until the following morning (of the Sabbath). On the morning of the Sabbath, Moses said, "Eat that today...." He did *not* say, "Prepare that today...."!

This is the same conclusion reached by the author of *The International Bible Commentary*, page 167, as we read earlier and display once more:

Manna baked or boiled on the eve of Sabbath did not come under the prohibition of v. 19.

This same commentary, expounding on Exodus 16:5 (concerning the preparation of the double portion on the sixth day), terms the act of preparing the manna in advance "A special arrangement in recognition of the sanctity of the Sabbath."

Yes, the Sabbath *is* a day to be sanctified -- set apart -- from the other six days of the week. We demonstrate to Yahweh how much we value that sanctity by abstaining from all unnecessary labor on His Sabbath day.

Alfred Edersheim, in his book *The Temple: Its Ministry and Services*, pages 179-180, offers the following information regarding the Sabbath preparation customs of the believers of Old:

If the sun were not visible, sunset was to be reckoned from when the fowls went to roost. But <u>long before that</u> the preparations for the Sabbath had commenced. Accordingly, Friday is called by the Rabbis 'the eve of the Sabbath,' and in the Gospels 'the preparation.' No fresh business was then undertaken; no journey of any distance commenced; but everything purchased and made ready against the feast, the victuals being placed in a heated oven, and surrounded by dry substances to keep them warm.

Mr. Edersheim points out that the victuals were placed in a heated oven prior to the onset of the Sabbath. Notice that they were "surrounded by dry substances." Why were they surrounded by dry substances? Edersheim states that this was to "keep them warm." Obviously, if the victuals were being kept warm by "dry substances," they were no longer being subjected to the action of heat. Once the Sabbath began, instead of being subjected to heat, the food was kept warm by the ancients' equivalent of a thermos. We will read more about this "thermos" later in our study.

We previously asked the question, "Is it okay to apply heat to food on the Sabbath, whether it be for the first time or the second (for leftovers)?" That question has been answered by Yahweh's Word; there is to be no baking or seething (boiling) on the day of the weekly Sabbath. The most common objection to this, as mentioned earlier, is for someone to comment that sticking a leftover food item in the microwave for a few seconds does not constitute "baking or boiling," therefore making such an act "perfectly acceptable" on the Sabbath. To determine whether or not such a conclusion is in harmony with the will of Yahweh, we need to define the word "bake." This word is word #644 in *Strong's*, The property and is pronounced "ah-fah;" it means "to cook, espec. to bake." This definition, by itself, does not properly reveal what it means to bake something, so let us turn to a dictionary for help. According to *The New Grolier Webster International Dictionary of the English Language*, Volume I, page 74, the word *bake* means:

bake, bāk, *v.t.*—*baked*, *baking*. [O.E. *bacan*.] To cook (food) by dry heat in a closed place, as an oven; harden bricks, pottery, etc., by heat.—*v.i*. To bake bread, etc.; to become baked.

The act of baking, therefore, involves *cooking* food, so we need to properly define the verb "to cook" in addition to the verb "to bake." According to the same dictionary that we used to define "bake," the word "*cook*" means:

cook kuk, *v.i.* To act as cook; prepare food by the action of heat; of food, to undergo cooking.—*v.t.* To prepare (food) by the action of heat, as by boiling, baking, or roasting; subject (anything) to the action of heat. Colloq. to concoct or invent falsely, often with *up*; alter surreptitiously or falsify. Slang, to ruin or spoil.

With the understanding that cooking simply involves preparing food by the action of heat, we can at once discern that whether we are baking or cooking an item, we are subjecting it to a *heating process*. This information is further validated by the *Encyclopedia International*, Volume 5, item "Cookery," page 213, where we read: "In its simplest statement, cooking is the preparation of foods for eating with the action of heat. In its broader sense, cookery may be considered any form of preparing food for the table."

Notice that the encyclopedia article doesn't mention *how much* heat is involved before the cooking process begins – it simply mentions that <u>heat is involved!</u> Therefore, even the slightest amount of heat used in preparing a dish can be considered "cooking."

When we bake/boil <u>before</u> the Sabbath begins, we do so because we understand that baking or boiling does indeed involve the preparation of food.

Furthermore, by carefully examining just what it means to "bake" or "cook," we can discern that a food item does not necessarily have to go through the heating process for the first time in order to "bake" or "cook." As the above-mentioned references reveal, subjecting *anything* to the action of heat, i.e., warming it up, can be defined as "cooking" it. Therefore, when we bake, boil or even warm up food <u>before</u> the Sabbath

begins, we do so because we understand that these activities do indeed involve the preparation of food, which we have already shown as being prohibited on the Sabbath day. Moreover, knowing that baking involves subjecting a food item to the action of heat, we can also see that "merely" tossing something into the microwave for a few seconds of "warming up" must also involve subjecting that item to the same action of heat! It is being cooked!

Interestingly, the word translated "seethe" in Exodus 16:23 can *also* be correctly translated "bake"! This word is the Hebrew word pronounced *bâshal* [בשל], which is word #1310 in *Strong's Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary. Bâshal*, as plainly indicated by *Strong's*, can be translated "bake" or "boil." For proof that this word can indeed be properly rendered "bake," we need look no further than II Samuel 13:8, where we read the account of Tamar baking cakes for her brother Amnon:

So Tamar went to her brother Amnon's house; and he was laid down. And she took flour, and kneaded *it*, and made cakes in his sight, and did <u>bake</u> [*bâshal*] the cakes.

Obviously Tamar did not "boil" cakes for her brother, so we know the Hebrew word *bâshal*, as used in the above verse, is correctly translated "bake." Since *bâshal* can be properly used to indicate baking a food item as well as boiling a food item, we can safely conclude that this word was included in Ex. 16:23 for *emphasis* purposes. In fact, as revealed by *Strong's*, bâshal literally means "to be **done** in cooking." Thus, whereas the Hebrew word *ah-fah* can be used to simply indicate "warming up" a food item, *bâshal* is used to indicate *fully cooking* a food item. As if to underscore His intense desire that His people avoid any form of cooking on His Sabbath day, Yahweh employs *two verbs* that can both be properly translated "cook" or "bake." Yahweh fully intends for His people to understand that *in no way* and under *no circumstances* are we to apply heat to any food items on His Sabbath day.

For those interested in etymological research, the English word "bake" can be shown to have a very interesting and revealing origin. According to *Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged*, page 164, the word "bake" hails from the Middle English word *baken*, which is in turn derived from the Old English word *bacan*, which is listed as being akin to the Old High German word *baen*, which means "to warm." This origin is substantiated by the *Encarta World English Dictionary*, page 129, where we read the following definition and etymology of the word "bake":

bake /bayk/ v. (baked, bak-ing, bakes) 1. Vti. COOK FOOD IN OVEN to cook something such as bread, a cake, or a pie in an oven by dry heat, or be cooked in this way 2. Vti. HARDEN BY HEAT to become hardened, or harden something, by exposing it to dry heat 3. Vi. BE VERY HOT to be or feel very hot (informal) ° You must be baking in that heavy coat. ■ n. 1. AMOUNT BAKED a number of things baked at the same time 2. PARTY WITH BAKED FOOD a party at which baked food is served (informal) (often used in combination) ° an oyster bake on the shore [Old English bacan (source of English batch). Ultimately from an Indo-European base meaning 'to warm,' which is also the ancestor of English bath.]

Many prefer to define "bake" only in the sense of applying heat to a raw food item and cooking it until completion. Evidence that such a definition is "user defined" and not "Yahweh defined" can be easily demonstrated by researching the meaning of the Hebrew word (ah-fah) and the original understanding of the English word "bake," as shown on the previous page. When the word "bake" began its evolutionary journey into present day English usage, it was understood as meaning "to warm." The ancients thus understood that compliance with Yahweh's directive meant that all of their cooking/warming up had to be completed *before* the onset of the Sabbath.

This understanding is also reflected in the *Mercer Dictionary of the Bible*, p. 780, where we read the following:

Despite the seriousness with which the rabbis viewed the Sabbath, it was in many ways a festive occasion. Before the Sabbath began at sundown on Friday, marked by the blowing of the trumpet, lights were lit, since kindling a fire was forbidden after the Sabbath began. The celebration began with a blessing (*kiddush*). It involved synagogue services on Friday evening and on Saturday morning. On Friday, the scripture reading was from the Psalms, while in the morning service, it was from the Torah. The remainder of the day was for relaxation and enjoyment. Three meals of food, <u>cooked before Sabbath began</u>, were served, often with invited guests present. The day ended with a benediction.

When were the meals cooked? They were cooked <u>before</u> the Sabbath began. No heating of food on the Sabbath was ever associated with normative Judaism, so far as we can tell, until the Middle Ages. Matthew Henry, in his *Commentary on the Whole Bible*, echoed this very same understanding of the Israelites' custom in his commentary on Exodus 16:22-31:

Appointing them [the Israelites] to rest on the seventh day, he took care that they should be no losers by it; and none ever will be losers by serving God. On that day they were to fetch in enough for two days, and to prepare it, ν . 23. The law was very strict, that they must bake and seeth, the day before, and not on the Sabbath day.

Matthew Henry, who himself regarded this law as having been "done away" in New Testament times, understood that if he were to have felt compelled to observe the Sabbath Yahweh's way, he would have had to cease "baking and seething" on that day! As we have already demonstrated, "baking and seething" means "subjecting a food item to the process of heat." Unless one chooses to reject the standard definitions of both of these words, this means we are not to apply any heat to any food item on the Sabbath day.

Some individuals, even after having been shown the evidence thus far presented, still do not consider warming up a previously cooked item to be an act of "food preparation." Apparently some major food processing companies are not in agreement! As an example, we removed a can of HeinzTM Vegetarian Beans from our cupboard and read the directions. Keep in mind that these beans had already been pressure cooked during the food preservation process. Hence, they had already been "prepared." Despite this, the directions on the label read as follows:

PREPARATION DIRECTIONS

RANGE TOP: Empty contents into saucepan. Heat slowly, stirring occasionally. Makes three and a half servings.

According to the manufacturer's own expressed understanding, to "prepare" this previously-cooked food item requires heating it up a second time! Those who practice warming food *or drink* on the Sabbath are faced with a dilemma *if* they simultaneously believe that obedience to Yahweh's command on this subject is important. They have to be able to prove that such a practice does *not* involve "preparing." But as the above direct quote from a major food processing company demonstrates, even warming up a food item involves *preparing it* for consumption. This, coupled with the fact that baking food is prohibited (i.e., the Hebrew word for "bake" also means "to cook, or prepare food by the action of heat"), illustrates that such a practice does *not* have Yahweh's stamp of approval.

Finally, even *if* the Hebrew term *ah-fah* [cook, bake] could only be used in reference to fully cooking a raw food item, one has to at least wonder why Yahweh didn't specify that *warming up food* is permissible on the Sabbath. The absence of such a stipulation, coupled with the absence of any Scriptural examples of believers exhibiting such an understanding of Yahweh's intent, must be considered a *glaring* absence.

7. Defining the Word "Prepare"

ome individuals reject the food processing companies' understanding of the word "preparation" and come up with their own "user defined" understandings of this word. The danger of applying "user defined" understandings to word definitions is that we run the potential risk of arriving at conclusions that are not in harmony with *Yahweh's intent*. When our prime directive is seeking out the Will of Yahweh, should we not seek out *His intended understanding of a word*? And let's say that coming up with a concrete understanding of Yahweh's intent that everyone can agree on is just not possible. If we thus risk erring in our understanding of His intent, shall we err on the "strict" side or shall we err on the side of "laxness"? In view of the fact that the consensus of even the more liberal scholars is that food preparation does include the act of *baking*, it seems to be a stretch of the imagination to conclude otherwise. For example, although *The New Unger's Bible Dictionary* promotes the belief that Sunday now supersedes the seventh day Sabbath, elsewhere this same reference mentions that the ancient Israelites <u>prepared</u> their manna by "grinding and baking" it. Other sources confirm the understanding that "preparing food" must also include "baking" an item, which in turn means "subjecting a food item to the action of heat." The following quotation comes from *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, Vol. 2, p. 402:

On the sixth day, the people were to gather twice the daily amount (v. 5). It was not to be left or eaten in the form they gathered it; instead, it was to be prepared by milling and baking (cf. Num 11:8).

On page 403 of this same commentary we read:

"The seventh day was to be a 'day of' cessation or 'rest' (v. 23); therefore, <u>food preparations for the morrow were to be made on the sixth day</u>. But when some failed to obey (v. 27), the Lord groaned, 'How long ['ad-anah, v. 28; cf. Ps 13:1] will you [plural] refuse to keep my commands and my instructions?' Only then did the people 'rest' (lit., 'stop,' 'cease') on the seventh day (v. 30).

As already pointed out by *The Expositor's Bible Commentary* and *The New Unger's Bible Dictionary*, the act of preparing not only includes "milling" or grinding the food, but it also involves *baking* food. We are told in Numbers 11:8 the extent to which the children of Israel prepared the manna:

And the people went about, and gathered *it*, and ground *it* in mills, or beat *it* in mortar, and <u>baked</u> *it* in pans, and made cakes of it: and the taste of it was as the taste of fresh oil.

Given the above information, we can thus discern that "food preparation" involves more than just mixing ingredients together or even applying heat to those ingredients. The act of preparing a food item can be accurately described as a *process*. We cannot separate any of the steps listed above and claim that one particular step constitutes "the preparation." For example, we cannot accurately maintain that the grinding of the manna in mills served as the *preparation process*. Instead, grinding the manna in mills was a *part* of that process. For us to take even one step in the above preparation process, carry out that one particular "step" on the Sabbath while claiming that "step" as not <u>really</u> constituting the "preparation of a food item," would be nothing short of hypocrisy. Yahweh Himself forbade any of the above acts on the Sabbath, all the way from the gathering of the food item (cf. Ex. 16:27-30) to baking it (Ex. 16:22-23).

The book of Jeremiah clues us in to yet another part of the food preparation process, as it mentions *kneading dough* to make (*ahsah*) cakes. Notice what it says in Jeremiah 7:18:

The children gather wood, and the fathers kindle the fire, and the women knead *their* dough, to make cakes to the queen of heaven, and to pour out drink offerings unto other mighty ones, that they may provoke me to anger.

In order to "make" (*ah-sah*, prepare) those "cakes," it was necessary to knead the dough, yet certainly we realize that there is much more to bread-making than just kneading the dough! Again, we cannot remove any one step in the preparation process and label that step as being the actual "preparation." Applying heat to food (baking, cooking) has been shown to be a part of that process, and is therefore something that should *not* be done on the Sabbath. In Ezekiel 4:9, Yahweh commanded Ezekiel to "make [*ah-saw*, prepare] bread" out of wheat, barley, beans, lentils, millet and fitches. In verse 12, we learn that a part of that preparation included *baking* the bread.

Many seek a "cut and dried" definition of the word "prepare" that will better guide them in their attempt to serve the Almighty. For example: Does buttering a slice of bread constitute "preparing"? Is it wrong to add salad dressing to a salad on the Sabbath? These are questions we have been asked by people who seek to clearly define what can become a fuzzy line if we pursue defining this word to its farthest extremes. Truly, this controversy has led us from one extreme to the other, and curiously, we have observed that those who ask us such questions are often themselves striving to justify their own affinity for a warm Sabbath meal. In other words, as they seem to imply, "If you're gonna say I can't warm my meat loaf in the microwave, then you can't be adding dressing to your salad!" If we fail to respond to their satisfaction, does this mean they have Yahweh's blessing to do all manner of preparing on the Sabbath, all because we did not give them the all-encompassing, "stop-all-questions" answer to their inquiries? On the one hand, we have the *general* understanding of "preparation," wherein even major food manufacturers are able to discern that warming up food constitutes its preparation. On the other hand, we are cornered by individuals, who, for whatever reason, seek a more *specific* definition. Unless we come up with the "specific answer," we are left with the impression that this will serve as the pretext they need to define "preparation" so as to fit their own "user-defined" understanding of the word.

As difficult as it is to draw the line between what does and does not constitute an act of food preparation, let's at least address the issue of buttering bread and adding salad dressing to a salad. Do those acts constitute "preparation"? Well, if they are to be classified as "preparation," at most they would have to be considered "final preparation." If indeed the final act of adding condiments to a food item is construed so as to mean an act of preparation prohibited by Yahweh on His Sabbath day, then we should also address a few other "prohibited actions." It must be considered "preparation" when we add salt and pepper to a food item. For me personally, I am well-known in my family for mixing different food items together that are already on my plate. For example, I love mixing cold baked beans with cottage cheese. By applying the "strict" definition of "prepare" as given by those who believe it "must" include adding salad dressing to a salad, my food-mixing habits would have to cease on the Sabbath. After all, what is the difference between the act of pouring dressing from a bottle and mixing it with a salad and the act of dishing out food only to mix it with another food item? What is the difference as it relates to "preparation"? There isn't any! Last but not least, it would even have to be considered "preparation" to add milk to a bowl of cereal. The list could go on and on!

For those who wish to further define food preparation in its strictest possible sense, by including the addition of condiments, or even mixing the food already found on one's plate, that is their prerogative. Yahweh didn't leave us with such a "strictest possible definition" to go by.

Hopefully, all can see the absurdity of venturing to seek such a strict definition of the word "prepare." Those who seek such definitions are often those who, upon realizing the futility of faithfully adhering to the strict limitations imposed by such a lifestyle, end up abiding by the loosest possible definition. We have encountered people encompassing nearly every spectrum in the realm of defining the word "prepare." We have encountered those who believe only the act of slicing and dicing, as well as heating a food item for the first time, constitutes "food preparation," whereas warming up a previously heated item is *not* preparation. We have encountered those who outright bake, boil, slice, dice and grind on the Sabbath (although it is possible they simply believe Yahweh sanctions any and all food preparation on the Sabbath). We have encountered those who, like us, believe that applying heat to any food item, whether it be for the first time or for the third time, constitutes food preparation. For those who wish to further define food preparation in its strictest possible sense, by including the addition of condiments, or even mixing the food already found on one's plate, that is their prerogative. Yahweh didn't leave us with such a "strictest possible definition" to go by. The only "definition" He specifically left us with involves applying heat to food (baking), as well as grinding, beating (crushing), and kneading dough. Each of these acts constitutes part of the preparation process as defined by Yahweh. Anything beyond the parameters outlined by Yahweh, such as adding milk to cereal or peeling an orange, is best left up to an individual's own conscience, guided by prayer and study.

As we conclude this section pertaining to the definition of *prepare*, it is fitting that we add a brief commentary on this word as it relates to *cooking*. We have already shown that the word *bake*, in its original sense, simply meant "to warm." Curiously, it can be demonstrated that the word *cook* is not <u>always</u> used to mean "subjecting a food item to the action of heat." According to the *Encarta World English Dictionary*, page 398, the first definition listed for the word *cook* is "**PREPARE FOOD:** to prepare food for a meal." This definition falls in line with the one mentioned earlier as taken from the *Encyclopedia International*: "In its broader sense, cookery may be considered any form of preparing food for the table." Given this definition, and equipped with the understanding that Yahweh's directive clearly established that *all food preparation* for the Sabbath is to be done on the sixth day of the week, we are left with no room to doubt that cooking is indisputably an act of food preparation that Yahweh forbids on His Sabbath day.

8. Does 21st Century Technology Overrule Yahweh's Word?

any professing sabbatarians, it seems, ignore the definition of the word "bake," focusing instead on how 21st century technology has made it possible to produce a warm food item in only a matter of seconds, thus significantly reducing the amount of time and labor involved in its preparation. Surely the ease with which food can be heated in this day and age supersedes any expressed intentions to the contrary that Yahweh may have given His people in times past, or so these individuals reason. It is true that today all we have to do is pull something out of the fridge, pop it in the microwave, push a few buttons, and in seconds we have a nice, warm meal with virtually no labor involved. Does this ease of preparation exonerate us from certain precepts of the fourth commandment? Do state of the art appliances cancel out open pit fires? Since our modern appliances save us so much time and effort, does this mean that 21st century technology eliminates the requirement to obey the fourth commandment as it relates to preparing food? Is it now permissible to cook or warm up food on the Sabbath, since it is so much more convenient in these modern times? Should we be allowed more freedom on the Sabbath than the children of Israel were given, since it has become so much easier to prepare food today than it was back then?

Those who support the belief that it is indeed permissible to warm up food on the Sabbath are virtually unanimous in answering "yes" to all of the above questions. The children of Israel did not have controls on their stoves or ovens to keep the temperature at a constant level. They did not have timers to alert them when "time was up," nor did they have "automatic shut off" controls for when the temperature reached a certain level. In short, they were required to closely monitor any food that was subjected to heat.

As we have already pointed out, warming up food on the Sabbath because "it is so much more convenient today than it was back then" can only be regarded as an attempt to minimize Yahweh's enduring directive. In our attempt to rationalize why anyone would choose to warm up food in a microwave oven, as opposed to a conventional oven, the only answer we come up with is "convenience." A microwave oven is so quick and easy that virtually no labor is involved in preparing the food. At first glance, this form of Sabbath food preparation might not seem wrong.

However, on the basis of our having already defined the words "bake" and "cook," our first problem with the above scenario is justifying the application of heat to food. Some may contend that, "technically speaking," one does not apply heat to food while using a microwave oven. It is true that, unlike conventional ovens, a microwave oven heats food without actually applying external heat. This, according to some, proves that one is not actually "applying heat" to food while using this type of oven. However, by definition, microwaves are a source of heat energy, just like gas and electricity. All three produce cooking in the food itself. Thus, regardless of how quick and easy microwave cooking is to do, the fact of the matter is this: heat-producing energy is applied to the food item.

Secondly, let's consider an oft-neglected fact: Microwave ovens are a luxury item. While the microwave oven has seemingly become a staple in the United States, millions living in third world countries are barely even aware of its *existence*, let alone wealthy enough to own one. Untold millions of impoverished people living in these countries not only lack the means to own a microwave oven, they lack the *electricity*! If applying a small amount of heat to a food item were permitted on the Sabbath, these people would have to resort to the primitive method of carefully watching their pots to make certain they don't boil!

As it turns out, we have personally witnessed people carrying out this very act. While attending a feast with a group that permitted the "warming up" of food as opposed to "baking and boiling" on the Sabbath, we observed individuals who would place a pan of water over an open pit fire, and then meticulously watch the pan to ensure its removal before the water reached its boiling point. Those same individuals, on the following day, would again place their pan over the fire, but then go off and visit with friends, as the water slowly but surely began to bubble before vigorously foaming out over the top of the pan. They did not monitor the water that day because it didn't matter that day whether or not the water boiled! The obvious question is this: "On which day was the most work performed while heating the water?" Clearly, it was on the Sabbath. Although scrupulously watching a pan may not be as labor-intensive as other work activities, it is nevertheless an activity that detracts from the spirit of the Sabbath (see section 16, "The Spirit of the Sabbath: Yahweh's Intention"). The individuals we observed were so caught up in making certain their water didn't boil on the Sabbath that they didn't stop to think of how they were actually laboring more over the water that day than they did during the other days of the week! We don't believe those individuals properly grasped the spirit in which Yahweh's Sabbath directives were given – He commands His children not to cook on the Sabbath because He wants us to focus our attention on other things.

We can thus discern that those who cannot afford to own a microwave oven or crock pot, and especially those without electricity, would have to resort to scrupulously monitoring their food and water <u>if</u> it were true that a small amount of heat application is permissible on the Sabbath. However, since this act *does involve a certain amount of labor*, passive though it may be, ... and since *Yahweh forbids labor on the Sabbath*, ... and since this type of monitoring does not fall within any parameters listed as "acceptable exceptions" anywhere in Scripture, we can only conclude that one is better off abandoning the notion of warming up food on the Sabbath!

We cannot leave this potential scenario without simultaneously reflecting upon the plight of those who do not have electricity in their homes. Under the circumstances mentioned above, those who live without electricity would have no choice but to abandon all hope of having a warm meal on the Sabbath. However, here we are, in a place where we *can* just press a few buttons and in seconds a warm meal is ready. In pondering such a situation, we can only wonder how fair it would be. Does it seem fair that a group of people, just because they are blessed with better living conditions and modern conveniences, should have it granted to them that their reward for having those heating devices is that *they* get to enjoy a warm Sabbath meal, whereas the impoverished Sabbathkeeper is denied that privilege simply because he is not as well off? If Yahweh is not a respecter of persons, why would He grant those with the luxury of having a microwave oven the freedom to heat their meals on the Sabbath while simultaneously denying that privilege to a poor family with no such resources?

Of course, the situation just described is purely hypothetical and even serves to detract from the position we have already established: Yahweh clearly prohibits applying heat to food on His Sabbath day. However, our point is this: *If* He were to allow any heat so long as it did not reach a certain temperature, those not possessing the modern technological ovens with temperature controls would be at a definite disadvantage.

Before leaving the subject of modern technology, we would like to address yet one more form of logic advanced by one Sabbathkeeping organization. From the booklet *The Sabbath: A Memorial and Promise*, page 42, we read the following:

Today, most houses have electricity, with food kept in a refrigerator. There is little difference between using electricity to keep food cool on the Sabbath and using electricity to warm it. Again, if someone does not wish to heat food on the Sabbath, preferring cold sandwiches or cold food, that is strictly his prerogative. However, he

should not condemn another who sees nothing wrong with pushing a button to heat his food for the Sabbath, for which there is no specific scriptural restriction. iv

We agree with the author of the above commentary in that we should not condemn those who warm their food on the Sabbath. Our purpose here is not to condemn, but to share our perspective of what we believe is the will of Yahweh in this matter. We do not condemn those who do not share our position. Strongly advocating one's position should never be so misconstrued as to mean "condemnation." This having been said, however, we must express sharp disagreement with other comments as expressed above by the author. Contrary to his conclusion, we believe there *is* a specific Scriptural injunction against heating up food on the Sabbath.

While it is true that there is little difference between using electricity to keep food warm versus using electricity to keep it cool, the fact of the matter is that keeping food cool is completely irrelevant to this study. Yahweh's directive specifically deals with applying *heat* to food on the Sabbath. Scripture does not deal with the subject of keeping food cool on the Sabbath. Furthermore, under normal circumstances, the refrigerator is not used to *prepare* food. Instead, it is used to *preserve food*. As we have shown, this issue is all about abstaining from *work* on the Sabbath. We have demonstrated that *preparation* can be properly classified as *work*, which is forbidden by Yahweh on that day. Yahweh's Word goes even <u>further</u>, classifying the application of heat to food as *preparation* (Num. 11:8, Ex. 16:23). Any attempt to introduce the refrigerator as an excuse to warm up food on the Sabbath is a clear case of confusing the facts and missing the point.

9. Preparation of the Temple Shewbread

The have thus far produced corroborative information from reputable sources that the manna was baked/boiled on the *eve* of Sabbath, not *on* the Sabbath itself. This, quite frankly, is how the ancients understood the directive from Yahweh as found in Exodus 16:23. This same tradition carried over in the preparation of the temple shewbread by the priests. The following insight into this function of the priesthood comes from the book *The Temple: Its Ministry and Services*, by Alfred Edersheim, page 181:

The first of these [priestly] functions, immediately on the commencement of the Sabbath, was the renewal of the 'shewbread.' It had been prepared by the incoming course [of priests] <u>before</u> the <u>Sabbath</u> itself, and -- we might almost say, invariably -- in one of the chambers of the Temple....

The author later adds:

For although it was a principle that 'there is no Sabbath in the sanctuary,' <u>yet no work was allowed which might have been done on any other day.</u>

The shewbread, therefore, was prepared *not* on the Sabbath, but the day *before*! Josephus, the Jewish historian who lived between the years 38 CE and 100 CE, provides historical evidence substantiating Edersheim's book. In his work entitled *Antiquities of the Jews*, Book III, chapter 10, section 7, Josephus informs his readers about the provision of the temple shewbread:

However, out of the common charges, baked bread was set on the table of shew-bread, without leaven, of twenty-four tenth deals of flour, for so much is spent upon this bread; two heaps of these were baked; they were baked the day before the Sabbath, but were brought into the holy place on the morning of the Sabbath, and set upon the holy table, six in a heap, one loaf still standing over-against another; where two golden cups full of frankincense were also set upon them, and there they remained till another Sabbath, and then other loaves were brought in their stead,

Since it was the practice in the temple to prepare the shewbread in advance of the Sabbath, then certainly we can expect the same manner of preparation from the general populace as well.

It stands to reason that the custom of preparing the shewbread *before* the Sabbath stemmed from honoring Yahweh's directive to do <u>no work</u> on the Sabbath, i.e., all food preparation was done on the sixth day of the week. Furthermore, since it was the custom in the temple to prepare the shewbread in advance of the Sabbath, then certainly we can expect the same manner of preparation from the general populace as well.

The preceding information concerning the temple shewbread, though coming from authoritative sources, including a historian who was *there*, carries no weight if it cannot be supported by Scripture. As it turns out, there is indeed a problematical text of Scripture that at first glance would seem to contradict the information just given. According to a certain verse found in the *King James Version's* rendering of I Chronicles, the shewbread was *prepared on the Sabbath*! We are definitely confronted with a problem, for on the one hand, we have data from reliable sources that the shewbread was prepared *before* the Sabbath, and on the other hand, Scripture itself seems to <u>refute</u> that information. Let's examine the Scripture passage in question. It is found in I Chronicles 9:32, where we are enlightened with regard to the temple responsibilities delegated to the various clans of the Levites, in this case the clan of Kohath:

And other of their brethren, of the sons of the Kohathites, were over the shewbread, to prepare it every Sabbath.

If this *King James Version* reading is correct, we have just run across a Scriptural example authorizing food preparation on the seventh-day Sabbath. However, before jumping to conclusions, we need to make note of the fact that there are several Hebrew words which can be translated "prepare." We have already dealt with one, the Hebrew word מוֹם (ahsah), which is word #6213 in *Strong's Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary*. As you may recall, this word can mean "to do," "to make," "to labor," and of course "to prepare." However, the Hebrew word translated "prepare" in I Chronicles 9:32 is a different Hebrew word. This word can indeed be used to mean "to prepare" as used in that verse, so we are not about to label that verse a "mistranslation." Nevertheless, it is interesting to note the other meanings that this Hebrew word can have. The Hebrew word in question here is word #3559 in *Strong's*, אוֹם, and is pronounced "koon."

This word "koon," besides having its meaning of "to prepare," can *also* be translated "to set up," "to be erect," "to set forth," "make provision," and "to make ready." This word can be found, among other places, in Psalms 141:2, which we display below:

Let my prayer be <u>set forth</u> (koon) before thee as incense and the lifting up of my hands as the evening sacrifice.

King David mentions the "setting forth" (koon) of his prayer -- that it be "set forth as incense." Could it be that just as incense can be "set forth," that the translator of I Chronicles 9:32 *could have (accurately) translated it so as to read* "...the sons of the Kohathites, were over the shewbread, to set it forth every Sabbath"? The answer, obviously, is <u>YES</u>! This same Hebrew word can also be found in Ezra 3:3, where we read about the altar being "set upon" (koon) its foundation.

It is always interesting to compare Bible translations in order to see if any further light can be shed on the intended meaning of a particular verse. We have already displayed the *King James Version's* rendering of I Chronicles 9:32, and most versions, such as the *Revised Standard Version*, support that translation. However, note the translation given by the *New International Version*:

Some of their Kohathite brothers were in charge of preparing for every Sabbath the bread set out on the table.

While we are not necessarily in agreement with the *NIV*'s translation, it is nonetheless an interesting one, as it implies that the shewbread was prepared *in advance of* the Sabbath, not on the Sabbath day itself. This particular translation of I Chronicles 9:32 agrees with the Jewish *Tanakh*. There is a Spanish version of the Bible (*La Santa Biblia*) that claims to be an "ancient version of Casiodoro de Reina (1569), revised by Cipriano de Valera (1602)." This version provides amazing support of the translation that we suggest as being the most accurate. Shown below is I Chronicles 9:32 as it appears in this Spanish version, followed by its translation:

Y algunos de los hijos de Coat, y de sus hermanos tenían a su cargo los panes de la proposición, los cuales ponían por orden cada día de reposo.

And some of Kohath's sons, and their brothers, were in charge of the bread of proposal [shewbread], which they <u>set in order</u> each Sabbath day.

This Spanish version definitely supports rendering the word "koon" in such a way as to indicate that the priests did *not* prepare the shewbread on the Sabbath. Finally on this subject, there is a verse that certainly indicates and in fact *confirms* that the shewbread was <u>NOT</u> prepared on the Sabbath, but rather <u>SET FORTH</u> on the Sabbath. This verse is found in Leviticus 24:8, where we read the following:

Every Sabbath he shall <u>set it</u> [the shewbread] <u>in order</u> before Yahweh continually, being taken from the children of Israel by an everlasting covenant.

Curiously, the words translated "set in order" in this verse come from yet *another* Hebrew word (#6186 in *Strong's*). It is יערכשי, from the Hebrew word ק"ב, (literally translated "he shall arrange it"), pronounced "aw'rak" in Hebrew, and has the general meaning of "to set in a row, i.e. to arrange, put in order." Leviticus 24:8, therefore, is translated properly in the *King James Version*, and all versions are in agreement with this translation. Thus, we see that although the shewbread was set in order (set out on the table) each Sabbath, it was *prepared* the day before.

10. Burnt Offerings on the Sabbath?

In His Word, Yahweh made a clear distinction between the function of the priesthood and the role of the other children of Israel. Although He gave Israel specific instructions regarding the proper observance of the Sabbath, nevertheless the general populace was given certain restrictions that did not apply to the priesthood. The Temple services required that certain functions be carried out on a daily basis, including the Sabbath, and those functions were to be carried out by the priests. As mentioned earlier by Edersheim, there was an understood principle that "there is no Sabbath in the sanctuary." Yeshua, in Matthew 12:5, brought forth this same principle:

Or have ye not read in the law, how that on the sabbath days the priests in the temple profane the sabbath, and are blameless?

Without a doubt, Yahweh instituted a demarcation between the general populace and the priesthood. Some might argue, "If the priests could do it back then, then we can do it now!" This attempt to blur the distinction that Yahweh made, in addition to being without merit, is also an exercise in futility. One man, for example, suggested that, in light of the fact that the priests offered up burnt offerings before Yahweh on the Sabbath, this suggests that we, too, may apply heat (fire) to our food on that day. Is his logic justified?

To answer such a claim, we need to examine the offerings presented to Yahweh on the Sabbath day, as outlined in Numbers 28:9-10:

On the sabbath day: two male lambs a year old without blemish, and twotenths of an ephah of choice flour for a grain offering, mixed with oil, and its drink offering — this is the burnt offering for every sabbath, in addition to the regular burnt offering and its drink offering. (NRSV)

This, then, was the requirement for the priests to carry out each Sabbath day -- presenting the burnt offerings to Yahweh. The law pertaining to the burnt offerings, as outlined in Leviticus 1:10-13, obligated the priests to *completely burn* each lamb by fire until it was totally consumed. Thus, instead of burning meat for <u>human</u> consumption, the meat was wholly consumed by the <u>fire</u>.

If, as some propose, we can today lay claim to the priesthood, there are those who might also lay claim to the right of roasting meat by fire on the Sabbath day. If so, we can only suggest that such people *completely roast* the meat, as in *total consumption by fire*!

11. More Historical Socumentation

arlier, in our section pertaining to the preparation of the Temple shewbread, we quoted from the first-century Jewish historian, Josephus. Josephus' writings demonstrate that, just as the priesthood prepared the shewbread before the onset of the Sabbath, so the rest of the people would have followed that same procedure with regard to the preparation of their meals. But Josephus provides further documentation concerning this custom, that not only was it practiced by the temple priests, but it was also practiced by a sect of the Jews known as the Essenes. In his work entitled *The Wars of the Jews*, Book II, chapter 8, section 9, he records the following:

Moreover, they [the Essenes] are stricter than any other of the Jews in resting from their labors on the seventh day; for they not only get their food ready the day before, that they may not be obliged to kindle a fire on that day, but they will not remove any vessel out of its place, nor go to stool thereon.

The Essenes were obviously a very strict sect of the Jews, as Josephus explained above. They not only prepared their food **before** the Sabbath began, in accordance with Scripture, but they also implemented practices that are not necessarily found in the Torah. It appears that their prohibition against removing vessels out of their places on the Sabbath, however, might stem from the likes of Jeremiah 17:21-22, where we are told not to bear any burdens on the Sabbath day. If such is the case, it appears likely that they, like the Pharisees of Yeshua's day, had so ritualized the Sabbath that it had been robbed of the joy Yahweh intends for His children to experience. They, like many Sabbath observers of today, were apparently unable to separate the line between not doing "their own pleasure" on the Sabbath and still being able to truly call it a "delight" (Isaiah 58:13). On the other hand, if we take into consideration the possibility that the "vessels" in question were of considerable weight, it becomes easier to understand and accept the rationale employed by the Essenes. Moving such vessels around could very well have involved intensive labor.

<u>Without</u> <u>exception</u>, each historical reference which we have been able to locate bears out that food preparation was done <u>before</u> the Sabbath began, not on the Sabbath itself.

The Book of Jubilees, in the opinion of some researchers, was written by forerunners of the Essenes before the birth of the Messiah Yeshua. According to the New Bible Dictionary, page 626, Jubilees was "probably written in the late 2nd century BC in (proto-) Essene circles shortly before the Qumran sect came into existence." It was originally written in Hebrew, and fragments of it were found among the Dead Sea Scrolls. Although June and I do not agree with everything presented by the author of this book, we nevertheless regard it as a valuable resource for historical information. Notice the specific instructions that it gives pertaining to food preparation on the Sabbath. What follows is taken from Jubilees 50:7:

Ye shall not do any work on the Sabbath which ye have not prepared for yourselves on the sixth day, to eat or to drink or to rest or to keep Sabbath from all your work on that day, and to bless Yahweh your mighty one, who has given it to you as a festival day; and a holy day it shall be, and a day of the holy kingdom, for all Israel this day, among your days, in all the days.

The Book of Jubilees provides additional historical evidence that there were certainly individuals or groups within the ranks of ancient Judaism who understood that all manner of food preparation was to be completed *prior to*, and not after the onset of the weekly Sabbath day. What is particularly worthy of note at

this point is the fact that, *without exception*, each historical reference from which we have quoted bears out that food preparation was done *before the Sabbath began*, not on the Sabbath itself. Furthermore, we have not been able to locate *any* references refuting this claim. This is significant, for not only do we have compelling passages of Scripture on which to base our conclusion, but we also have historical evidence that, unless we can be shown otherwise, seals the matter.

Other historical references further confirm the fact that ancient Judaism did not apply heat to their food on the Sabbath. In his book *The Jewish Festivals: History and Observance*, page 14, Hayyim Schauss addresses the ancient Jewish practice of removing all pots from their stoves prior to the onset of the Sabbath. In the following historical narrative, Schauss describes normative Jewish understanding regarding Sabbath observance up through the "first two centuries of the Common Era":

In order to assure against profanation of the Sabbath the Jews added the late Friday afternoon hours to the Sabbath. In Jerusalem a priest, standing on a high tower of the Temple, blew a trumpet as a signal to put away all work and to begin the Sabbath rest. In all other cities and towns of Palestine the sexton of the community ascended the roof of an elevated house, usually the synagogue, at the required time, and blew six blasts on the trumpet at intervals. At the first blow of the trumpet the Jews in the fields about the town put down their work; those who worked closer to town did not rush from the fields, but waited for those who worked further out, and all marched into town together. The shops, however, were still open; they closed at the second trumpet call. The third blast was the signal at which the pots were taken from the stoves and wrapped in various materials to preserve their warmth. The third blast was also the signal for kindling the Sabbath lights.

As pointed out by Schauss, the pots were taken from the stoves and wrapped in insulating materials to "preserve their warmth." This was ancient Judaism's equivalent to the modern-day thermos. Of course, there is a big difference between applying heat to food and preserving heat. The Jews of that particular time period recognized and honored Yahweh's directive against applying heat to food. Sadly, as we will demonstrate in our section entitled "(Inadvertent) Jewish Admissions," many of today's Jews ignore that directive.

In his book *The Jewish Festivals: History and Observance*, Mr. Schauss goes into further detail regarding the "ancient thermos." On page 22, he describes the typical Friday afternoon bustling to prepare for the oncoming Sabbath. He writes:

Later in the afternoon there is quiet and peace. The food for the Sabbath is already cooked. The *cholent* [a traditional Jewish stew] is put into the oven and the stove is sealed with clay to keep the heat in. A jar of hot water is set in a recess in the stove, built especially for this purpose, for warm foods and drinks on the Sabbath.

Jews of ancient time went to a lot of trouble to ensure their food and drink was kept as warm as possible, yet they were punctilious in not applying heat to that food once the Sabbath began. Think of the time and effort involved when, each Friday afternoon, they prepared the clay for sealing their stoves. How much easier it would have been to have simply set a pot of Friday's leftovers over an already-burning fire, watch it for a few minutes, and then remove it when it became warm! For them, however, this act wasn't even considered an option. The only "option" they recognized for warm food on the Sabbath was transforming their stoves into "thermoses."

Mr. Schauss goes into more detail regarding this "sealed stove" on page 32 of his book:

The main feature of the Sabbath midday meal is the *cholent*, with its adjunct, the *kugel*, cooked food kept warm overnight in a sealed stove. As far back as the time of the second Temple it was the custom for Jews to keep the Sabbath food in materials that retained heat. Adding heat on the Sabbath is forbidden, not the retaining of it.

As clearly stated by the author, adding heat to food on the Sabbath is prohibited. When we intentionally expose food to a flame or any heat source, we are adding heat to it, which we have already defined as *cooking*. Mr. Schauss continues with his historical narrative on page 23:

One by one the stores close, in rapid succession. The houses are spic and span. The pots and pans have been removed from the stoves, the fires no longer flame. Father, mother, and children are busy washing, combing, and dressing for the Sabbath.

The "fires" that no longer flame, of course, are the fires from the stoves. The author, as quoted earlier, has already mentioned the "Sabbath lights" that were kindled before the Sabbath began.

Schauss, on page 25 of his book, points out that by the time the third Sabbath meal is eaten, the "sealed stove" has lost its effect:

After *Minchoh* (afternoon prayers) the third Sabbath meal is eaten, generally a dairy meal, kept for warmth in the recess of the stove, but by this time of day it is cold, or, at best, lukewarm.

Schauss goes on to describe other Jewish practices that demonstrated great reverence for the sanctity of the Sabbath, such as the Jewish custom of baking bread on Friday for the entire week ... thus providing the freshest loaves for the Sabbath. His testimony offers convincing evidence that ancient Jewish thought and practice was to do absolutely *no cooking or warming of food on the Sabbath*.

12. Fasting on the Sabbath?

The have just demonstrated that ancient Jewish custom was to completely extinguish all stove fires prior to onset of the Sabbath. What we are about to read is how this practice led to a complete misunderstanding and misinterpretation of that Jewish lifestyle by the heathens living amongst the Jews.

Of historical interest is a little-known remark made by the Jewish historian Josephus in *Antiquities*, Book XIV, chapter iv, section 3. In relating the account of Pompey's siege against Jerusalem (63 BCE), Josephus mentions that Pompey stormed the Temple on the "fast day." At once, we tend to think this must mean that Pompey entered the temple on Yom Kippur. However, we are also told that this occurred during the third month of the year, Sivan. Since Yom Kippur occurs during the *seventh* month, we know the "fast day" is not a reference to this holy day. What, then, does "the fast day" refer to? While no one is certain, the following potential scenario as presented in *The Encyclopedia of Judaism*, page 1,030, leaves us with an interesting possibility to consider:

Josephus ascribes also to Strabo Nicolaus' statement about the Jews' continuing to practice their rites while Pompey's troops were storming into the Temple, mentioning that the city was captured 'on the fast day.' Whether this refers to Yom Kippur, which cannot possibly be the day the city was captured, since other evidence indicates that the date was much too early in the year, or on the Sabbath, which many pagans thought was a fast day, perhaps because of the prohibition against cooking, is a matter of dispute.

Regardless of whether or not "the fast day" was used as a reference to the Sabbath as being the day on which Pompey captured Jerusalem, the fact remains that pagans <u>did</u> believe the Sabbath was observed by Jews as a *fast day*, apparently due to them *not cooking on that day*. The information above is validated by other sources, such as a book entitled *Sabbath and Synagogue*, pages 95-96, by Heather A. McKay, where we read the following:

Pompeius Trogus [1st century BCE historian], contributing to a recurring misunderstanding of Jewish habits, describes Moses as having consecrated the sabbath as a perpetual fast day, hallowed for all time.

Preserved in Justinus, Historiae Philippicae, Epitoma 36, 2.14-16

"... he (Moyses), for all time, consecrated the seventh day, which used to be called Sabbath by the custom of the nation, for a fast day ... [T]hey took care, in order that they might not become odious, from the same cause [fear of spreading infection], to their neighbors, to have no communication with strangers: a rule which, from having been adopted on that particular occasion, gradually became a religious institution ... and ever afterwards it was a custom among the Jews to have the same persons both for kings and priests; and by their justice combined with religion, it is almost incredible how powerful they became."

The confusion of the ban on cooking with a requirement to fast is common in many of the writings of the time. But Pompeius Trogus does conceive of the day as being held sacred.

The author of the above book, Heather A. McKay, not only identifies a <u>mistaken heathen perception</u> of Jews as fasting on the Sabbath in the first century BCE, but she also addresses the teachings of Ignatius, a second century CE Christian, who was known for his anti-Jewish stance. As demonstrated in McKay's book, pages 181-182, Ignatius earmarks sabbath-keeping as defining Jewish practice, specifically with regard to *food preparation*:

More detailed information about the Jewish sabbath can be found in the longer recension of the Epistle to the Magnesians, usually regarded as later than the recension of middle length quoted above. In it the writer [Ignatius] retreats from the completely anti-sabbath stance expressed in the middle recension. In it a modified form of sabbath-keeping is permitted, although it is to be as different as possible from the caricature of Jewish sabbath-

keeping described in the text. It is this somewhat polemic summary of Jewish sabbath activities that is of most interest to us. The writer says:

Let us therefore no longer keep the Sabbath in the Jewish manner, and rejoice in idleness; for 'he that does not work, let him not eat.' For say the [holy] oracles, 'In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat thy bread.' But let everyone of you keep the Sabbath after a spiritual manner, rejoicing in meditation on the law, not in relaxation of the body, admiring the workmanship of God, and not eating things prepared the day before, nor using lukewarm drinks, and walking within a prescribed space, nor finding delight in dancing and plaudits which have no sense in them. And after the observance of the Sabbath, let every friend of Christ keep the Lord's day as a festival, the resurrection-day, the queen and chief of all the days [of the week].

Apparently, on the sabbath Jews eat food prepared on Fridays, drink tepid drinks and walk only short distances. The Jews portrayed 'rejoice in idleness' and enjoy 'dancing and plaudits.' The Christians are directed to a new, 'better' form of sabbath-keeping, which for them does include 'meditation on the law'—begging the question of whether Jews meditate on the law on the sabbath.

Instead of following Jewish habits and practices, Christians are to work at their normal sweat-producing labours, and should eat freshly cooked meals and drink freshly prepared drinks, whether still piping hot, or cool and fresh from the well—not having stood in a jar overnight. They are to celebrate the sabbath in the mind and in the spirit, enjoying the wonders of creation and admiring God's handiwork. And they are to follow that sabbath with a Christian day of celebration on Sunday.

As clearly presented in McKay's book, ancient heathens regarded the Jews as fasting on the Sabbath -- all because they did not cook food on that day. Later, Christians recognized that practicing Jews did all their food preparation on *the day before the Sabbath*. This even included their drinks. Historically, in ancient times, it was indeed common knowledge that no manner of heating process was applied to food on the Sabbath day. Many sources confirm this fact, such as Abraham E. Millgram, on page 38 of his book *Sabbath: The Day of Delight*:

It was a common belief among the ancient Romans that the Jews fasted on the Sabbath, because no smoke was seen from their houses on that day.

As described above, we can discern that while ancient heathens were baking and boiling their Saturday meals, the smoke from their fires filled the air. The cooking fires of torah-observant Jews, however, had been put out well before the Sabbath began. *Surely*, since those Jews had no fires burning, they must have been *fasting*, or so the heathens thought!

We have displayed overwhelming evidence that ancient Judaism did not practice "warming up" food on the Sabbath day. Equipped with the additional knowledge that ancient pagans misinterpreted this Jewish custom as meaning they fasted on the Sabbath, there is no room to doubt that ancient Judaism well understood and *practiced* the prohibition outlined in Exodus 16:23. The next question is, "Would any non-Jew come away with that same impression today?" As we are about to see, the answer is no, they would not. At some point in time, quite possibly at the time when a Jewish sect known as the *Karaites* began to emerge, most of Judaism began the unscriptural practice of maintaining a fire to keep their food warm on the Sabbath. Karaite Jews do not apply heat to food on the Sabbath. In fact, if you check out the reason given by normative Judaism for why they practice maintaining a fire in order to keep food warm on the Sabbath, they admit that it comes from the Talmud, a Jewish writing known as their *Oral Tradition*, which we believe is without Scriptural support and is devoid of inspiration from our Heavenly Father. Karaite Jews reject the authority attributed to the Talmud by normative Judaism.

13. (Inadvertent) Jewish Admissions

adly, much of modern Judaism circumvents the law as it pertains to applying heat to food on the weekly Sabbath. By means of a contrivance termed the "Sabbath stove," certain Jews teach that this appliance allows "observant Jews" to enjoy a hot meal on the Sabbath. The following information comes from the book *The Sabbath* by Dayan Dr. I. Grunfeld, page 47:

The "Sabbath Stove": The prohibition of cooking does not mean that we may eat only cold food on the Sabbath. On the contrary, no Sabbath is considered complete without some hot food.

How is this result achieved? —by the "Sabbath-Stove":

This means that one arranges the stove before Sabbath in such a way that the actual burners are covered, and it is also impossible to regulate the heat on Sabbath. (This is usually done by means of a sheet of tin placed on top of the cooker with the edges bent down to cover the controls.) Hot cooked food and an urn of hot water can then be placed on the stove before the commencement of the Sabbath, with the heat adjusted to keep them hot during Sabbath until needed.

Please notice the author's comment, "no Sabbath is considered complete without some hot food." Curiously, nothing even vaguely resembling his remark can be found in the Torah, nor is there an example of any precedent supporting his conclusion found anywhere in Scripture.

The fallacy of the "Sabbath Stove" is actually exposed in the above author's own book, as he elsewhere attempts to explain the Creator's rationale in prohibiting "cooking" on the Sabbath. In chapter two, author Grunfeld explains the "Table of the Thirty-Nine Categories of *Melakhah*." The act of "baking" is covered as item #11 on that list, and on page 31 he explains it as follows:

To take another example, the eleventh category (see Table) includes not only boiling, baking, frying, etc., but also industrial activities such as smelting iron, tempering steel, etc. The general principle in this case is: changing the physical or chemical state of a substance by means of heat.

Grunfeld explains that the principle to be avoided by Torah observant individuals is that of changing the physical or chemical state of a substance by means of heat. By using the "Sabbath Stove," one is able to avoid changing the physical or chemical state of a substance, even though heat is applied to the food item! How do they accomplish this? By virtue of the fact that the food item was already in its "hot" (cooked) state when the Sabbath began. Thus, the "Sabbath Stove" is, in the minds of some, a means of enjoying a warm Sabbath meal without having altered the physical or chemical state of the food. However, an obvious dilemma is not resolved by virtue of the "Sabbath Stove": Subjecting food to the action of heat.

Yahweh plainly expressed His intentions regarding the application of heat to food on the Sabbath in Exodus 16:23, and those who abide by the Torah only in interpreting this passage recognize that *no food is to be subjected to heat on the Sabbath*. Curiously, today's Jews acknowledge this fact, as they admit that their reasoning for keeping food hot on the Sabbath stems, not from Scripture, but from *Oral Tradition*! The following information is taken from a Web site managed by the Orthodox Union, which hails itself as "the largest and most dynamic Jewish movement of its kind in the world; the central address and national spokesman for 1,000 synagogues; a not-for-profit educational, outreach and social service organization which serves the burgeoning North American Jewish community":

The Talmud tells us that some Jews (ca. 250 BCE - 70 CE) did not accept the Oral Law, and interpreted the Torah literally. They founded a sect called Tzedukim (named after their leader, Tzadok; also known as the Sadducees) and often acted in a way foreign to Jewish tradition. For example, the Tzedukim prohibited a Jew from leaving a fire

burning in his home on the day of Shabbat. <u>To the Rabbis' great chagrin, they would sit in cold and darkness, and</u> eat cold food on Shabbat.

Because of the foolishness of this small group of Jews, the Rabbis decided to take action. In an effort to demonstrate that these Jews were wrong, the Rabbis encouraged the people to have hot food on Shabbat. This would certainly enhance the Shabbat meal, but more importantly, it would demonstrate our loyalty to the Oral Law and Jewish Tradition.

While we are not about to suggest that the Sadducees had all the answers to Scriptural questions, we are stating that the Orthodox Jewish community only sanctions the warming of food on the Sabbath because their Oral Tradition says it's okay! In their own words, Orthodox Jewry admits that the Sadducees chose to avoid keeping their food warm on the Sabbath because they rejected the "Oral Tradition"! As quoted above, the Orthodox Jews admit this, and to show their disdain for the Sadducees' interpretation of the Torah, they enjoin everyone to have hot meals on the Sabbath out of spite! Notice from the above quote that the rabbis were compelled to encourage the people to have hot food on the Sabbath. This implies that the people at that time had not yet fully grasped the Oral Tradition's apparent contradiction (and supersession) of the Torah. If the "Oral Tradition" was so widely known and accepted, why did the rabbis have to encourage the people to change their Sabbath eating habits?

We realize that, to some, it may come as a shock to believe that the Jews' only justifiable defense of their "Sabbath stove" practice comes from their <u>Oral Tradition</u>. Nevertheless, this is the truth. In other words, the Jews admit that there is absolutely *nothing* in the Torah sanctioning the warming up of any food item on the Sabbath. Therefore, in order to justify their craving for warm food on the Sabbath, they are only able to turn to their *Oral Tradition*. Please keep in mind that no one in Scripture mentioned an "Oral Tradition" that served to supersede or otherwise interpret the Torah. The Oral Tradition is clearly an *uninspired* "writing of man," and in some cases one must wonder if it might in fact be inspired by the adversary, especially in those places where we are taught such things as a whining dog indicating that the Angel of Death has entered the city. Again, the Rabbis admit that their only justification for keeping their food warm on the Sabbath stems from their Oral Tradition.

For those who might remain unconvinced of this fact, we are displaying yet another Jewish admission. The following is an excerpt from a Web-based article entitled "Cholent^{vii}: A Historical Perspective," by Rabbi Yisrael Rutman, published by jewishworldreview.com on March 2, 2001:

Traditional as cholent is, however, not all Jews have been cholent-eaters. The schism is not just a matter of culinary preference, either; it touches on one of the most fiercely debated issues in Jewish history. For in the eighth century, a sect arose called the Karaites. These were Jews who rejected the teachings of the Talmud, also known as the Oral Law. They believed that only the Written Law, the Five Books of Moses, was authoritative. The name Karaites is Hebrew for 'Scripturalists,' since the literal reading of the Torah text was their guiding principle. Needless to say, their ideological stance had vast ramifications.

Without an Oral Tradition to complement the Written Torah, it is a closed book, since so many of the basic terms and concepts are presented without explanation in the text of the Torah itself. Such terms as *lulav*, *tefilin*, and *shechita* are complete mysteries without some outside tradition to explain them. The laws of Shabbes, too, are given clear definition only by the Talmud and its commentaries.

<u>Having cut themselves off from the Oral Tradition</u>, the Karaites had to make it up as they went along, and their interpretations often resulted in bizarre perversions of authentic Judaism. For example, since they took literally the verse that 'you shall kindle no fires...on the Sabbath day,' they spent the Seventh Day eating cold food in cold, unlit dwellings. Mainstream Judaism, on the other hand, always permitted the use of fire on Shabbes, as long as it is kindled before Shabbes begins, thus allowing for such all-time favorites as hot cholent on cold winter days. (Actually, the *halacha*, or Jewish Law, requires that one have something on the fire on Shabbes during the summer, as well, as an ongoing refutation to the fundamentalist way of the Karaites.)^{ix}

Our point, once again, is this: The Sadducees and the Karaites bucked the uninspired "Oral Tradition" of mainstream Judaism, suffering interminable ridicule and persecution for their determination to abide only by the Torah. A part of the Oral Tradition they rejected involves its sanctioning the act of keeping food warm on the Sabbath. We thus see from history that *not all Jews accepted the teaching that it is okay to keep food warm on the Sabbath*! Those who *do* accept that teaching base their authority, not on Scripture, but on the *Talmud*!

According to the quote we just read, mainstream Judaism has "always permitted" the use of fire for cooking on the Sabbath. However, as we have already learned from historical references, Mr. Rutman's remark is not accurate.

Notice that both the Sadducees and the Karaites cite Exodus 35:3 (regarding the prohibition of kindling a fire on the Sabbath) as their proof text explaining why they do not warm up food on the Sabbath. We do not personally recognize Exodus 35:3 as even being relevant to this topic, yet in answer to those who do cite that passage, we will deal specifically with Exodus 35:3 later in this study.

We have shown from history that at a certain point in time a certain sect of Jews introduced the teaching that the Almighty sanctions food warming on the Sabbath. We have successfully demonstrated that at this same time, at least one other sect(s) of Jews *rejected* that teaching. Complementing this knowledge is the fact that throughout history, certain Jews, apart from the Karaites and the Sadducees, have been known by their custom of eating only cold food on the Sabbath. For example, from *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, page 295, we read of a traditional story involving a Jewish rabbi and an emperor:

The Midrash relates that once the Roman emperor Antoninus was dining on the Sabbath at the home of the patriarch Judah Hanasi. Cold viands [victuals] were set before him, and they pleased him very much. When warm viands were set before him on another occasion on a weekday, he declared vigorously that the former viands were preferable. The patriarch remarked that the warm food lacked a spice, and when the emperor asked its name, Judah Hanasi replied: the Sabbath.

Additional evidence that certain Jews enjoyed cold food as a regular part of the Sabbath comes from various sources, including a Jewish cookbook. The following information is a review of the Jewish cookbook *Cucina Ebraica: Flavors of the Italian Jewish Kitchen* by Joyce Esersky Goldstein, and is taken from the Web site My Cookbook.net:

Jews have lived in Italy since Roman times, always part of the cultural landscape, always living in isolation of one kind or another. The word we know as ghetto comes to us from 16th-century Venice. Within the world of Jews in Italy, there are several smaller worlds: those of the native Jews, of the Sephardim driven out of Spain, and of the Ashkenazim moving down from Germany and Eastern Europe. Take all those food traditions and dietary laws, squeeze them in one overarching food sensibility, and you have a very unusual way to view culture and history. Joyce Goldstein, in Cucina Ebraica, demonstrates that culture and history are edible, if not downright delicious.

Take Livornese with Meatballs, White Beans, and Greens. Couscous came to Livorno with North Jews in the 1270s. It was a Friday-night meal, and the leftovers were served cold the next day on the Sabbath. Goldstein gives the first honest recipe for Carciofi alla Giudia (crispy fried artichokes in the Roman style) yet printed. Not all artichokes are alike, she demonstrates, and then shows you a way around the problems no one else ever manages to address to successfully cook this classic.

The above information clearly identifies a cultural understanding of certain Jewish people who were apparently known for eating cold food on the Sabbath. This characteristic, however, goes beyond being a cultural identification mark: It reflects a people bent on obedience to Yahweh's Torah.

14. A Jewish Souble Standard

ow that we have established the existence of historical proof that the ancients understood the mandate of Exodus 16:23 as being a prohibition against the warming of food, we can turn our attention to current Jewish practice and belief here in the 21st century. In a news item published in the *Athens* (Georgia) *Banner-Herald* on September 12, 2001, a Jewish woman openly, albeit unintentionally, admits to a Jewish double standard. On the one hand, she states that Jews don't cook on the Sabbath; in her next breath, though, she explains how her meal cooks overnight so it's ready for Sabbath lunch! Observe her comment regarding her recipe for Cholent:

This is a standard Jewish dish, because we're not allowed to cook on the Sabbath," said Rusti Klein. "This cooks overnight on a very low flame, and by Saturday when we're ready to eat lunch after services, it's ready."

Ms. Klein clearly explains that her dish cooks overnight in order to be complete in time for her family's return from Sabbath services ... even though she had just explained that Jews are "not allowed to cook on the Sabbath"! One has to wonder if and when the word "cook" really means "cook"! Clearly, our definition of the word "cook" does not match the present-day Jewish understanding of the word.

Just to demonstrate that Ms. Klein is not the only Jew who "doesn't cook" on the Sabbath (even though her food does), we found another article on the Internet in which yet another Jewish woman makes practically the same remark! The following excerpt is taken from the Web site *Jewish Holiday Online*, wherein we are once more given instructions for making Cholent:

Be sure to allow enough time before starting this recipe. To comply with Jewish law, the Cholent should be at least 2/3 cooked before sundown on Friday, so no attempt is made to somehow aid the cooking on the Sabbath itself. Though there are no techniques here that require great skill or concentration, there are many separate steps. The beans require at least a one-hour soak before proceeding, as noted below, and the simmering time is two hours or more. There is some slight preparation time required as well, so leave another hour for all of those procedures. xi

Okay, let's do the math here: If a dish is 2/3 cooked <u>before sundown</u>, but it has to be *fully cooked* before it can be eaten, then how much cooking must that dish be subjected to <u>after sundown</u>? This is a question that is so simple it could just as well be posed in an elementary school math book. Any dish that is 2/3 cooked before Sabbath, yet is not removed from the heat, will be subjected to another 1/3 amount of cooking time *after* the Sabbath has begun! The woman quoted above stated the obvious fact about what happens *before* the Sabbath begins; what she conveniently left out is the fact that her dish *is indeed* subjected to the cooking / preparation process *after* the Sabbath begins.

Furthermore, the woman we quoted earlier (Ms. Klein) has already explained that Jews are "not allowed to cook on the Sabbath." The general understanding promoted by normative Judaism, then, is that no cooking should be done after sunset on Friday. Nevertheless, Ms. Klein has no problem with allowing her dish to "cook overnight" on Friday night and well into Saturday. Finally, another Jewish woman explains that "to comply with Jewish law" the dish can be allowed to complete the final 1/3 stage of its cooking process on the Sabbath! Is it any wonder the expression "double standard" comes to mind?

While it is certainly obvious that the two women quoted above exhibit double standards with regard to the fact that they on the one hand admit to cooking food on the Sabbath while on the other hand explaining they aren't allowed to cook on the Sabbath, the truth is, both women are merely expressing that which they have been taught by their religious superiors. They are living examples of the double standard promoted by Orthodox Judaism. According to Orthodox Judaism, "Cooking is not allowed on the Sabbath." Yet

according to *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, the traditional dish *cholent* "... is placed in a well-heated oven on Friday afternoon and <u>allowed to cook slowly or simmer overnight until ready for the Sabbath meal</u>." ^{xiii}

We believe a major portion of the difficulty in resolving this issue lies in coming to grips with Yahweh's intended meaning of the words "cook," "bake," and "boil," which we feel is overlooked not only by Orthodox Judaism, but by all who apply heat to food on the Sabbath. Once we fully accept *Yahweh's definition* of these words, Whose definition is also found in any good dictionary (i.e., "to prepare food by the action of heat"), it should become obvious that His desire is that we "don't do that" on His Sabbath day!

15. The Liberal Interpretation of Exodus 16:23

Ithough the consensus of every scholarly reference we have consulted, combined with the evidence from history, is that Exodus 16:23 specifically signals Yahweh's denunciation of baking or boiling food on the Sabbath, some dissenting individuals cultivate the belief that since this verse does not expressly state, "Bake what you will bake and boil what you will boil TODAY ONLY," (i.e., the sixth day as opposed to the Sabbath day), therefore no such interpretation is required. In other words, as they would paraphrase this verse, "Bake and boil what you need for your needs on the sixth day, then keep whatever is left over for baking and boiling the following morning (of the Sabbath)." Hence, in their estimation, baking and boiling is permitted on *any* day of the week, including the Sabbath. Apart from being the most liberal interpretation of this verse we can possibly imagine, we must reinforce the fact that no authority has ever supported this interpretation, including the Jews themselves. Especially vocal in expressing the understanding that Yahweh's intent in this verse as being that *no food preparation be done on the Sabbath* is the book *A Handbook on Exodus*, page 401, by Noel D. Osborn and Howard A. Hatton:

Bake what you will bake is literally 'what you [plural] will bake, bake,' and **boil what you will boil** is literally 'what you will boil, boil.' This should be understood as a command that allows two options, either **bake** or **boil**. The difference between the two is the same as is understood in English. The manna could evidently be cooked with dry heat or with water. TEV's^{xiv} 'Bake today what you want to bake' may weaken the idea of a command. TOT^{xv} is clear: 'Cook the food today, either baking it or boiling it.' 'Today' is not in the text, but it is clearly implied, since they were not to work at preparing food on the Sabbath.

"All that is left over refers to 'all that remains uneaten' (TOT), not to what is not cooked. The implication is that all of the manna collected on the sixth day was to be cooked so that it would not spoil and would be ready to eat the next day.

The authors of the above quotation bluntly express that which every scholarly work we have read establishes: All cooking in preparation for the Sabbath day was to be carried out on the sixth day of the week.

Earlier we mentioned that even Judaism recognizes the proper interpretation of Exodus 16:23 as including the understanding that all cooking required in preparation for Sabbath meals had to be completed on the sixth day of the week. In spite of their present-day customs, Jews have always recognized and understood the prohibition against preparing food on the Sabbath. Note the following, as taken from page 387 of the Jewish book *The Chumash*, Rabbi Nosson Scherman and Rabbi Meir Zlotowitz:

23. אמר האפו אפר -- Bake what you wish to bake. Rashi, as understood by Ramban, explains the verse as follows: Moses said that since it is forbidden to cook and bake on the Sabbath, the people must make any necessary preparations on Friday. By saying that they should set aside whatever is left over -- and not specifying that a complete omer must be left for the Sabbath -- Moses implied that they could feast as much as they wished on Friday, and that the leftovers would be blessed, so that they would be satisfied even with less than an omer. Although they had expressly been permitted to leave manna overnight on the Sabbath, they would not eat it until Moses instructed them to; apparently they thought it might be permitted to eat it only on the day it was gathered.

This Jewish publication and reference clearly establishes their long-recognized teaching that cooking, baking and boiling have always been forbidden on the Sabbath. *The Chumash*, by the way, is a Jewish compilation of commentaries on the five books of the Torah dating back to the Middle Ages. Rashi, the rabbi quoted above, lived from 1040 to 1105 CE.

This understanding is also summarized in *The Jewish Encyclopedia*, page 598, where we read:

"From the account of the prohibition against gathering the manna on the Sabbath, it appears that cooking and baking were understood to be included under the heading of work (*ib.* xvi. 22-27)."

As clearly presented above, Judaism has always understood Exodus 16:23 as representing Yahweh's prohibition against any food preparation on His Sabbath day. The authorities all agree with regard to Yahweh's directive in Exodus 16:23, as expressed through His servant Moses: **Do no food preparation at all on the Sabbath day**.

Please keep in mind that perhaps the most significant focus of the Sabbath involves the issue of food preparation. This, in fact, was the test that Yahweh gave the Israelites when He reinstituted Sabbath observance (Exodus 16:4). As we covered previously in this study, Yahweh tested Israel to see if they would walk in His law. In giving the details about this test, Yahweh stated, "It shall come to pass, that on the sixth day they shall prepare that which they bring in; and it shall be twice as much as they gather daily" (Ex. 16:5). When did Yahweh instruct His people to prepare their double portion of manna? Was it on the sixth day? The seventh day? Both days? The answer is quite obvious from the verse we just read: the double portion was to be prepared on the sixth day only!

The Literal Interpretation of Exodus 16:23

Since we have already demonstrated that warming up food is a form of food preparation, this effectively proves that Yahweh does not sanction warming up food on the Sabbath. In fact, this point is brought out even more clearly in Exodus 16:23. Although we have previously examined this verse (chapter 6), let's take another look at it:

²³And he said unto them, This is *that* which Yahweh hath said, Tomorrow *is* the rest of the holy sabbath unto Yahweh: bake *that* which ye will bake *today*, and seethe that ye will seethe; and that which remaineth over lay up for you to be kept until the morning.

As plainly instructed in the above verse (in conjunction with the instructions given in verse five), the Israelites were to prepare everything on the sixth day; they were to bake and seethe, and whatever was left over was to be kept until the morning. As they later discovered, to their surprise, this manna that they kept overnight did not breed worms as did the manna kept over on the other nights of the week. The main thing to remember here, though, is the fact that the manna that was kept overnight had been prepared (baked, seethed, etc.) on the sixth day of the week.

Furthermore, if we carefully examine the meanings of the Hebrew words "bake" (awfaw) and "seethe" (bashal), we will notice that the Hebrew word awfaw literally means "to bake," which in turn means "to apply heat to something." This could be properly translated as "warm up" (food). The Hebrew word bashal literally means "to be done in cooking." It cannot be limited to boiling, as might be indicated by some Bible translations, for in 2 Samuel 13:8 we read that Tamar baked (bashal) cakes for her brother Amnon, who was feigning an illness. Certainly we would not be expected to believe that Tamar boiled the cakes, yet it is this same Hebrew word that is employed in Exodus 16:23.

If both the Hebrew *awfaw* and *bashal* can be properly translated "bake," then why do they appear together in Exodus 16:23? The solution is this: *Awfaw* means "bake" as in "apply *any* heat to an object." *Bashal* means "bake" as in "completely cook" an item. Moses made it clear in Exodus 16:23 that Yahweh's people were to do all their "warming up" (*awfaw*) and their "complete cooking" (*bashal*) in advance of the Sabbath.

16. The Spirit of the Sabbath: Yahweh's Intention

s we seek to harmonize *our will* with the will of Yahweh, we need to prioritize the importance of considering the spirit in which the Sabbath was and *is* intended to be observed. What does Yahweh *mean* when He says, "On the <u>sixth day</u> they shall prepare that which they bring in..."? Did He inspire this to be written with the understanding that He wanted them to work EXTRA HARD on the sixth day of the week, or could it be that He wanted them to take it EXTRA EASY on His Sabbath day? Those Israelites were to bring in *twice as much manna* on the sixth day of the week as they did on the other days. What were they told to do with it on that same day? *PREPARE IT!* On which day of the week were they to do this? The *SIXTH DAY*, not the seventh!

Yeshua taught that it is lawful to do good on the Sabbath (Matthew 12:12). He DID NOT teach that it is lawful to do as we please on that day!

Yahweh's clear intention is for us to do *ALL* of our food preparation the day *before* the Sabbath, so that we may conduct ourselves properly on the seventh day, doing those things that best reflect His purpose in designing it for us: resting, worshipping, and fellowshipping. We need to take Yahweh at His Word if we claim to use His Word as our instruction manual, and we simply cannot go wrong by doing what He says. When He directed us to prepare on the sixth day, to bake and boil on the sixth day, did He intend for us to think that, "Well, it's okay if we just warm up our food or drink on the Sabbath"? No, the *spirit* of His command is for us to refrain from *all* forms of food preparation on the Sabbath. Without getting into specifics, let it suffice to say that one need not apply heat to a food item in order to prepare it! If we truly want a good "rule of thumb" to go by with regard to food preparation on the Sabbath, the principle that we quoted earlier by Alfred Edersheim on page 181 of his book *The Temple: Its Ministry and Services* is a tough one to argue against: "...no work was allowed [on the Sabbath] which might have been done on any other day." And naturally, this rule has the support of Scripture: "...thou shalt not do ANY work,""

Some would have us to believe that Yeshua "lightened the load" of the Sabbath, verbally attacking those who were overly strict in its observance. Yet Yeshua never once told the Pharisees, "Ye are too strict in your manner of observing the Sabbath!" Instead, He told them, "Ye ... have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone. Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel!" (Matthew 23:23-24) Obviously, the Pharisees were concentrating on matters of the law that they felt were important, but leaving out the rest! Yeshua didn't lambaste the Pharisees for any overly strict interpretations that they may have extrapolated from Yahweh's law -- instead He focused on their TRADITIONS which were ADDED to Yahweh's law! In Matthew 15:9, He summed up the Pharisees' undoing when He said, "But in vain they do worship me, teaching for doctrines the COMMANDMENTS OF MEN." Notice that these were NOT the commandments of Yahweh that they were teaching! They were teaching the commandments of men, which lead to a vain form of worship. What type of worship would you call it when the commandments of YAHWEH are being taught? [Answer: Pure worship]

Yeshua taught that it is lawful to do good on the Sabbath (Matthew 12:12); He did *NOT* teach that it is lawful to do as we please on that day! It is *OUR RESPONSIBILITY* to diligently strive to ensure that our thoughts and actions are pleasing to our Creator.

It is also our responsibility to diligently strive to ensure that we teach our children of the blessings that we can reap by seeking out and doing Yahweh's will, whether it be for how we live during the first five days of the week, the sixth day, or the seventh day. When we use the sixth day to **PREPARE** for the Sabbath, we are effectively setting ourselves up for success! Our Sabbath will be more restful and the spirit of worship will be more prevalent! If Yahweh truly sanctions food preparation on the Sabbath, He would have so stated. But since He did not, we simply cannot go wrong by abstaining from all forms of food preparation on that day. We can't go wrong if we make certain that we do things *exactly* as Yahweh says, as He tells us to do in Deuteronomy 5:32-33:

Ye shall observe to do therefore as Yahweh your Mighty One hath commanded you: ye shall not turn aside to the right hand or to the left!

Ye shall walk in all the ways which Yahweh your Mighty One hath commanded you, that ye may live, and that it may be well with you, and that ye may prolong your days in the land which ye shall possess.

As this passage reveals, we need to be very careful that we be found living in accordance with Yahweh's will. To do otherwise can only demonstrate that we take His Word lightly. Yahweh has given us some rules to live by. We can either choose to abide by them or to IGNORE THEM. Will He mind that much if we choose the latter? Notice the counsel of the Apostle Paul, as found in Ephesians 5:17:

Wherefore be ye not unwise, but understanding what the will of Yahweh is.

What is Yahweh's will for us regarding Sabbath observance? Is it pleasing in His sight for us to prepare our food on that day? It is our responsibility to discern what His will is, and then to *live accordingly*.

17. Does it Really Matter?

ome may ask, "Does it really matter *how* we observe the Sabbath, as long as we set that day aside for worship, rest, and fellowship?" Or maybe they believe the instructions pertaining to food preparation on the Sabbath ceased at the same time that the manna ceased -- when the children of Israel entered into the Promised Land. They may refer you to Mark 2:27, where Yeshua says, "The Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath." Thus, as they may abruptly conclude, as long as you attend a weekly Sabbath worship service, then whatever else you may choose to do on that day is not governed by the precepts of the fourth commandment. We must be careful, however, not to overlook Yeshua's *reason* for uttering that statement in Mark chapter two. You see, the Pharisees had just criticized Yeshua's disciples for plucking grain from a field on the Sabbath. In response to their criticism, Yeshua reminded them of how King David and his men, when they were hungry, entered into the Temple and ate the shewbread, which was only lawful for the priests to eat. In other words, as Yeshua pointed out, *human need superseded a law of Yahweh*. King David did not make a habit of eating the temple shewbread, but in an isolated incident in which he and his men were starved, they did so. In the same way, Yeshua's disciples, on that Sabbath day of long ago, plucked grain, not because they were intent on gathering or scrounging for food on the Sabbath, but to satisfy a human need.

Yeshua did not <u>deny</u> that His disciples were doing "that which is unlawful on the Sabbath"! We can be certain, however, that He did not encourage His disciples to make a <u>habit</u> of plucking ears of grain on the Sabbath. Such an act was certainly the *exception*, and not the norm, just as was the example of King David and his men.

This understanding of the events surrounding Yeshua's encounter with the Pharisees is shared by the authors of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, Volume 8, pages 637-638, where we read the following notation:

25-26 Jesus meets the accusation of the Pharisees with a counterquestion (v. 25). The incident he refers to is recorded in I Samuel 21:1-6. David and his companions were hungry and ate the consecrated bread—the twelve loaves baked of fine flour, arranged in two rows or piles on the table in the Holy Place. Fresh loaves were brought into the sanctuary each Sabbath to replace the old ones that were then eaten by the priests (cf. Exod 25:30; 35:13; 39:36; Lev 24:5-9; cf. Jos. Antiq. III, 255-56, [x.7]). Although the action of David was contrary to the law, he was not condemned for it. Jesus does not claim that the Sabbath law has not been technically broken but that such violations under certain conditions are warranted. 'Human need is a higher law than religious ritualism' (Earle, p. 40)

Again, many use Yeshua's comments in Mark chapter two as a justification for routinely violating Yahweh's law. As the author of the above notation points out, this was not Yeshua's intent, nor was it the intent of Mark when he penned the words. If Yeshua's disciples had been innocent of breaking the law, He would have plainly enlightened the Pharisees regarding their erroneous conclusion. Instead, He provided them the example of King David, and of how he was not condemned for breaking a law out of human need.

Upon reading the account of the giving of the manna in Exodus 16:26-30, we learn that Yahweh forbade the children of Israel from gathering *or even searching* for manna on the Sabbath. In fact, there was **no manna** to be found outside on that day! Any Israelite wishing to go outside to find a meal on the Sabbath would have been sorely disappointed! It therefore stands to reason that Yeshua's disciples should have done

their "plucking" the day *before* the Sabbath. Nevertheless, we do not know the circumstances involved here, and for whatever reason, Yeshua's disciples were hungry, and the only food in sight was in a grain field. Yeshua <u>did not deny</u> that His disciples were doing "that which is unlawful on the Sabbath," but human need, as He so vividly illustrated, outweighs the precepts of a law.

There are those who attempt to point out that Yeshua's disciples were *not* violating any laws in plucking and eating the grain on the Sabbath, as the law, in their opinion, only forbids "gathering" on the Sabbath. However, technically speaking, "plucking" *is* a form of gathering. There was truly nothing wrong with "plucking," then Yeshua had a perfect opportunity to point this out to the Pharisees on that Sabbath day of long ago. But instead of defending His disciples from the accusation of lawbreaking, He chose to provide the Pharisees an example of how the "man after Yahweh's own heart" *also* broke a law out of human need and was not punished for doing so. It was clearly a violation of the law for His disciples to be found plucking and eating the grain on the Sabbath, and again, Yeshua did not deny that His disciples were doing "that which is unlawful on the Sabbath"! We can be certain, however, that He did not encourage His disciples to make a *habit* of plucking grain on the Sabbath. Such an act was certainly the *exception*, and not the norm, just as was the example of King David and his men.

We can therefore reasonably conclude that when Yeshua defended that the Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath, He was not issuing a license to do as we please on that day, but rather issuing a declaration of how Yahweh understands that occasionally circumstances arise that require bending the rules a little. As long as such circumstances do not evolve into habits, our actions are not condemned by Yahweh.

Yeshua and His disciples were under the constant surveillance of the Jews, and as the incident of His disciples plucking grain on the Sabbath implies, the Jews were intent on catching them doing something wrong, such as violating the precepts of the Fourth Commandment. As we know, Yeshua was without sin. Just as all eyes were upon Him and His disciples, in the same way, WE, as servants of Yahweh, can expect to be scrutinized for every move we make. We are like bugs in a jar: People are going to be "eyeballing" us! They will either look at us and see obedient servants of Yahweh, or they will see us just going through the motions. If they catch us doing anything contrary to the clear instructions in Yahweh's Word, whether it be in ignorance or in actual defiance of Yahweh's will, the results can be devastating. For an unbeliever to witness a believer breaking the Sabbath could result in that person judging all believers in Yahweh as hypocrites, and thus deciding to never serve Yahweh. It is likewise possible that an individual who is spiritually weak might say, "Well, if this guy does that on the Sabbath, then it must be okay if I do it also!" -- without investigating the matter first. The only problem is, if it is NOT okay, then we have just contributed to someone's sin. Therefore, it is very important that we set righteous examples in everything we do, including the observance of the Sabbath day.

One final note on this topic: When the Pharisees questioned Yeshua's disciples' Sabbath behavior by asking, "Why do your disciples do that which is not lawful on the Sabbath day?", upon which "law" were they basing their inquiry? The only law ever given that forbade going out to search for food on the Sabbath is found in the same account that we have dealt with so often in this study: the account of the giving of the manna. Thus, the Pharisees and Yeshua, *together*, recognized that the account of the manna in the wilderness was not an isolated test aimed at a certain generation of Israelites. It is a test of obedience that will endure until Yahweh's people celebrate eternal life in the New Jerusalem!

18. Other Scriptures Considered: Kindling a Fire on the Sabbath

arlier we read excerpts from modern-day Jewish writings in which they admit that certain Jews in antiquity did not recognize the validity of the Oral Traditions as found in the Talmud. According to the authors of those articles, these "rebel Jews" (the Karaites) cite Exodus 35:3 in support of their stand against keeping food warm on the Sabbath. If this is true ... if certain Jews explain their position against keeping food warm on the Sabbath by citing Exodus 35:3, then we are inclined to express disagreement with their proof text! In fact, we do not consider Exodus 35:3 as even being relevant to this topic! Please allow us to explain why we believe as we do:

We are told in Exodus 35:3 that we are not to kindle a fire on the Sabbath day. This verse has obviously been used by some to justify their rationale for not cooking or even keeping their food warm on the Sabbath. We have already seen that Yahweh does not sanction cooking food on His Sabbath day on the basis of such passages as Exodus 16:5; 23, but it is not justifiable to cite Exodus 35:3 as a proof text discouraging the cooking of food on the weekly Sabbath. As we explore why not, let's begin by reading Exodus 35:2-3:

Six days shall work be done, but on the seventh day there shall be to you an holy day, a Sabbath of rest to Yahweh: whosoever doeth work therein shall be put to death.

Ye shall kindle no fire throughout your habitations upon the Sabbath day.

Once we learn what the word "kindle" means, we can understand that it is not wrong to have a fire burning on the Sabbath; instead, we are commanded not to <u>start</u> a fire on that day.

As mandated in Exodus 35:3, no fires are to be kindled "throughout your habitations" on the Sabbath. It should be obvious from the word "habitations" that at the very least, Yahweh forbids the kindling of fires in an individual's dwelling on the Sabbath. Some mistake the word "kindle" to mean "to have a fire." However, this word "kindle" is word #1197 in *Strong's Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary* (בער). It is pronounced "bawar" and literally means "to light a fire," or "to set on fire," *NOT* "to have a fire." This definition is supported by *The New Grolier Webster International Dictionary of the English Language*, Volume I, where "kindle" is defined as "To set on fire; to light; to inflame; to take fire; to begin burning; to become aglow." In Leviticus 6:13 we read of a fire that was to continually burn on the Sabbath, yet it certainly was *not* kindled on the Sabbath.

With all this in mind, we can understand that it is not wrong to have a fire burning on the Sabbath; instead, we are commanded not to *start* a fire on that day. Therefore, despite the fact that we have already shown what we feel is conclusive evidence that Yahweh prohibits food preparation on the weekly Sabbath, we do not consider Exodus 35:3 to be a verse that serves to further substantiate our conclusion. There is nothing wrong with having a fire to continuously burn before and during the Sabbath, but that doesn't mean we are permitted to use that fire to prepare a meal on the Sabbath day!

19. What have we done?

n this study we have shown Scriptural evidence bearing out the fact that Yahweh expects us to fully prepare for His Sabbath day. Additionally, historical evidence confirms that those who faithfully obeyed Yahweh's laws *also* prepared their meals before the Sabbath began, not to mention the Temple priesthood that consistently prepared the shewbread *before* sundown on Friday. We have even researched Hebrew words to further confirm their original, intended meanings, which in turn further confirms the evidence that, indeed, Yahweh expects His children to prepare all food prior to the start of His weekly Sabbath day.

Those of us familiar with the fourth commandment know that it begins with the words, "*Remember* the Sabbath day" Remember? Remember *what*?

This commandment is found in Exodus 20, just four short chapters following the incident pertaining to the giving of the manna in the wilderness. In Exodus 16:4, Yahweh plainly expressed that He was about to rain down "bread from heaven" in order to *test* the children of Israel, "... that I may *prove them*, whether they will walk in My law, or no." The question is, "What was the test?"

What, indeed, was that test? It was two-fold: 1) It was to see if they would consume all the manna they gathered on that same day (except the sixth day), not leaving any till morning (Ex. 16:19). 2) It was to see if they would honor Yahweh's Sabbath day by not gathering or preparing their food on that day. The Expositor's Bible Commentary, page 402, makes it clear that Yahweh's "test" was connected to His Sabbath day:

God would graciously answer the Israelites' grumbling by raining down on them 'bread from heaven.' But there was to be a 'test' to see whether Israel would obey and trust God by faith.

Already prior to the giving of the Ten Commandments, the seventh day was to be set apart to the Lord because of its establishment in the Creation ordinances in Genesis 2:2-3. On the sixth day, the people were to gather twice the daily amount (v. 5). It was not to be left or eaten in the form they gathered it; instead, it was to be prepared by milling and baking (cf. Num 11:8).

Elsewhere, this same commentary (page 403) establishes that this "milling and baking" preparation took place, *not* on the Sabbath, but on the sixth day of the week:

The seventh day was to be a 'day of' cessation or 'rest' (v. 23); therefore <u>food preparations for the morrow</u> were to be made on the sixth day.

This, then, was part of Yahweh's "test": That His people prepare all their food *in advance of* the Sabbath. Did Israel pass Yahweh's "test"? Sadly, the answer is no. Later, when the ten commandments were given, Yahweh said, "*Remember the Sabbath day*...." He enjoined them to <u>remember</u> what He had just taught them with regard to the special sanctity of the seventh day! Those of us who understand that the ten commandments are still in effect must similarly understand what Yahweh means when He tells us to "remember." It is not only a call for us to memorialize His creative acts and how He rested on the Sabbath, but it is *also* a directive for us to learn the lesson He gave to His people in the wilderness. Many, like those wandering in the wilderness, ignore Yahweh's call for us to "remember." It is up to us to decide whether or not we will heed that call.

Not everyone will agree with our conclusion. Not everyone agrees that we should even *observe* the Sabbath, let alone be prepared for it! How should we respond to those who do not see things our way? The

easy way is to ridicule the other guy's beliefs, and do our best to make him look like a deceived idiot! That is how many react to such disagreements. Is this how Yahweh would have us to react? Well, in I Peter 3:15-17, we are told to always be ready to answer anyone who questions our beliefs, but to do so with "meekness and reverence," *not* with bitterness and ridicule, looking for opportunities to slam their views. Too often we are confronted with the "Either see things my way or be deceived" mentality. But Paul tells us to, "If it be possible, as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with all men" (Romans 12:18). In Galatians 6:1, moreover, Paul admonishes the believers that if they catch someone in a "fault," to restore that person in a spirit of meekness, not in a spirit of condemnation. This having been mentioned, we feel the need to stress that this article is not designed or intended to publicly scorn anyone who practices food preparation on the Sabbath, for if it is a sin, then certainly the authors of this article are no less sinners than those who read it (Romans 3:23)! "We're in this together," as the expression goes. Hopefully, we're all striving to please the Heavenly Father, so if this article serves to broaden the understanding of others, and especially if it serves to deepen our commitment to serve our Creator, the authors will have accomplished their goal, for we must always remember that we are all children of the Creator of the universe! He is our Father, and He is the One whom we must serve! May Yahweh be magnified in our lives, and may we always rejoice in the hope that He has given us through His Son Yeshua the Messiah!

Bibliography

Book of Jubilees, **The**, translated by George H. Schodde, Ph.D., The Association of Covenant People, Vancouver, B.C. (first printed in 1888 by B. J. Goodrich, Oberlin, OH).

Chumash, *The*, The Stone Edition, Rabbi Nosson Scherman/Rabbi Meir Zlotowitz, General Editors, Mesorah Publications, Itd., Brooklyn, NY, 1997.

Commentary on the Old Testament, Volume 1, by C. F. Keil and F. Delitzsch, Hendrickson Publishers, Peabody, Massachusetts, 2001.

Commentary on the Whole Bible, by Matthew Henry, Edited by Rev. Leslie F. Church, Ph.D., R.R. Hist.S, Port City Bible Company, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 1972.

Customs and Controversies: Intertestamental Jewish Backgrounds of the New Testament, J. Julius Scott, Jr., Baker Books, Grand Rapids, MI, 1995.

Encarta World English Dictionary, St. Martin's Press, New York, 1999.

Encyclopedia International, Volume 5, Grolier Incorporated, New York, NY, 1972.

Encyclopedia of Judaism, Volume III, Edited by Jacob Neusner, Alan J. Avery-Peck and William Scott Green, Continuum, New York, 1999.

Encyclopaedia Judaica, Volume 6, Encyclopaedia Judaica Jerusalem, The MacMillan Company, Keter Publishing House Ltd., Jerusalem, Israel, 1971.

Expositor's Bible Commentary, *The*, Vol. 2 and Vol. 8, Frank E. Gaebelein, General Editor, Zondervan Publishing House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1990.

Handbook on Exodus, A, Noel D. Osborn and Howard A. Hatton, United Bible Societies, New York, NY, 1999.

History of the Karaite Jews, William Harris Rule, D.D., Longmans, Green, and Co., London, England, 1870.

International Bible Commentary, *The*, edited by F. F. Bruce, Marshall Pickering/Zondervan Publishers, Grand Rapids, MI, 1986.

Jesus and His Times, Kaari Ward, editor, The Reader's Digest Association, Inc., Pleasantville, NY, 1987.

Jewish Festivals, The: History and Observance, Hayyim Schauss, translated by Samuel Jaffe, Schocken Books, New York, NY, 1973. Originally published in 1938 under the title *Guide to Jewish Holy Days* by Union of American Hebrew Congregations.

Jewish Encyclopedia, The, Volume X, Isodore Singer, Ph.D., Projector and Managing Editor, Funk and Wagnalls Company, New York and London, 1909.

Judaism: Practice and Belief, 63 BCE - 66 CE, by E. P. Sanders, Trinity Press International, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.

La Santa Biblia, ancient version of Casiodoro de Reina (1569), revised by Cipriano de Valera (1602), revised again in 1960, Editorial Vida, Miami, FL, 1984.

Mercer Dictionary of the Bible, Watson E. Mills, General Editor, Mercer University Press, Macon, GA, 1997.

New Bible Dictionary, 2nd edition, J. D. Douglas, editor, Tyndale House Publishers, Inc., Wheaton, IL, 1982.

New Grolier Webster International Dictionary of the English Language, The, Volume II, by Grolier Incorporated, New York, 1972.

New Unger's Bible Dictionary, The, by Merrill F. Unger, R. K. Harrison, editor, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 1988, item "Manna," p. 813.

Oxford Dictionary of the Jewish Religion, R. J. Zwi Werblowsky and Geoffrey Wigoder, Editors in Chief, Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford, 1997.

Sabbath and Synagogue, Heather A. McKay, E.J. Brill, Leiden, New York, Köln, 1994.

Sabbath, The, by Dayan Dr. I. Grunfeld, Feldheim Publishers, Jerusalem / New York, 1988.

Sabbath, The Day of Delight, Abraham E. Millgram, The Jewish Publication Society of America, Philadelphia, PA, 1947.

Strong's Exhaustive Concordance, by James Strong, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, Michigan, reprinted in 1981.

Temple, The: Its Ministry and Services, by Alfred Edersheim, Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, Grand Rapids, MI, 1988. (Originally published in 1874).

Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, The, Volume 9, edited by Isaac Landman, The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, Inc., New York, 1943.

Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged, Philip Babcock Gove, Ph.D., Editor in Chief, Merriam-Webster Inc., Springfield, Massachusetts, 1993.

Works of Flavius Josephus in Four Volumes, The, translated by William Whiston, A.M., Volume II, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1992.

SCRIPTURAL EVIDENCE + HISTORICAL EVIDENCE = SOLID EVIDENCE!

Some words to review:

Hebrew Word	English Pronunciation	Strong's #	Meaning
מלאכְה	melakah	4399	WORK: It can be used to describe any work in general, such as employment, business, industrious, thing (made), or workmanship.
עשה	ahsah	6213	"To do," "to make," "to prepare," "to labor," c.f. Exodus 12:16 ("that only may be done (prepared) of you.")
עבדה	abodah	5656	"Servile (work)" in King James Version; "laborious (work) in Revised Standard Version. "Servile" is most accurate.
כון	koon	3559	CAN be translated "prepare" (c.f. I Chronicles 9:32); however, can also be translated "to set forth" or "set in order," which makes I Chron. 9:32 harmonize better with Lev. 24:8.
ערך	aw'rak	6186	"To set in a row, i.e. to arrange, put in order" (c.f. Leviticus 24:8)
אפה	ah-fah	644	"To cook, espec. to bake."
בשל	bâshal	1310	"To boil up; hence to <i>be done in cooking</i> ; fig. <i>to ripen</i> :—bake, boil, bring forth, is ripe, roast, seethe, sod (be sodden)."
בער	baw-ar'	1197	"To set on fire; to light; to inflame; to take fire; to begin burning; to become aglow."


POP QUIZ!

Question: On which day of the week were the children of Israel commanded to prepare the double portion of manna?

- a. the sixth day
- b. the seventh day
- c. both the sixth and the seventh day

Answer: See Exodus 16:5. (Does this same principle apply to Yahweh's people today?)

- This excerpt was taken from "Remember the Day of Shabbat To Keep it Holy," at the following Web address: http://www.ou.org/chagim/shabbat/remember.htm.
 From Everyman's Talmud, by A. Cohen, Schocken Books, New York, NY, 1975 (orig. pub. in 1949), page 196. Numerous other talmudic superstitions are cited, such as the following: "If one wants to know whether he will live out the year or not, let him light a lamp during the ten days between the New Year and the Day of Atonement in a room where there is no draught. Should the light continue to burn (as long as there is oil in the lamp), he will live through the year."
- "Cholent," according to the article, is "Traditionally, a stew made of beans, potatoes, onions, and kasha (groats); it may also include pieces of meat, chicken, hot dogs, and anything else you may want to throw in."
- This statement is contested by the Karaites, who claim it was the *Pharisees* (or "Rabbanites") who created the separation by requiring adherence to their "Oral Tradition" as published in the 6th century CE. According to William Harris Rule in *History of the Karaite Jews*, p. 79, "So far as I can ascertain, the name of Karaite does not yet occur in history, but first comes to view about the middle of the eighth century, in connection with the Chozars, a rude but powerful nation north of the Caucasus, among whom the Karaite Jews must have been for a long time previously well known." Rule elsewhere refutes the tradition that the Karaites were founded by the Davidite Anan (c. 760 CE).
- ix The article "Cholent: A Historical Perspective" by Rabbi Yisrael Rutman was found at the following Web address: http://www.jewishworldreview.com/0301/cholent.asp
- ^x This excerpt was taken from a news item entitled "Culinary homage to heritage: Jewish community getting ready for High Holy Days," by Marci Fierman. It was taken from the following Web address: http://www.onlineathens.com/stories/091201/foo_0912010017.shtml.
- xi From Jewish Holiday Online article "Delicious Memories," by Judith Segal, as taken from her 1999 book *The Kosher Gourmet*. Her article can be accessed at the following URL: http://www.jewish-holiday.com/cholentrecipes.html.
- xii Quoted from *The Oxford Dictionary of the Jewish Religion*, Editors in Chief R. J. Zwi Werblowsky and Geoffrey Wigoder, p. 257, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1997.
- xiii Quoted from Encyclopaedia Judaica, Volume 6, item "Food," p. 1,422, Encyclopaedia Judaica Jerusalem, The MacMillan Company, Keter Publishing House Ltd., Jerusalem, Israel, 1971.
- xiv TEV is the abbreviation of *Today's English Version*.
- xv TOT is the abbreviation of Translator's Old Testament.
- xvi This comes from the note on Mark 2:24 as seen in <u>The NIV Study Bible</u>, 1985, by The Zondervan Corporation: "According to Jewish tradition (in the Mishnah), harvesting, (which is what Jesus' disciples technically were doing) was forbidden on the Sabbath. See Ex 34:21."



From The New Grolier Webster International Dictionary of the English Language, Volume 1, Grolier Incorporated, New York, NY, 1972, item "cook," p. 224.

ii From *The New Unger's Bible Dictionary*, by Merrill F. Unger, R. K. Harrison, Editor, Moody Press, Chicago, IL, 1988, item "Manna," p. 813. The exact wording is as follows: "It was prepared for food by grinding and baking, it tasted like wafers made with honey, equally agreeable to all palates."

This information was taken from the article "Microwave Ovens: Questions & Answers" (Basic Microwave Oven Information, Safety Issues and Principles of Operation), from the following Web address: http://www.gallawa.com/microtech/microwaveQA.html, © 1997-2000 by J. Carlton Gallawa . All Rights Reserved Worldwide; Last updated 1/30/2000.

iv From the booklet *The Sabbath: A Memorial and Promise*, March 1984, page 42. I prefer to keep the name of the organization responsible for publishing the booklet anonymous.