

Part IV: Glenn Moore's Justification for Reneging on His Vow

1. To All Fruit Inspectors: *Do Yahweh's Servants Honor Their Vows?*

I am adding this section to our study, not because it contributes one way or another to any arguments supporting anyone's views regarding the length of a Jubilee cycle, but because I feel a response is in order to Glenn Moore's justification for not honoring a vow he made pertaining to the Jubilee cycle. Since this aspect of the discussion doesn't really involve arguments supporting one view versus another, I have elected to simply include it here in Part IV as an *addendum* for those who may be interested.

Many of the arguments that Glenn Moore raises in support of his view that the Jubilee cycle consists of 49 years are, in my opinion, illogical, vague or downright confusing. As such, I do not address every point that he brings up, which I realize comes at the risk of Glenn countering that I do not address all of his arguments (which he does). For example, I'm not going to address his claim (from his book *The Jubilee Code*) that the (alleged) 21 fragments of the comet Shoemaker-Levy that struck Jupiter in 1994 represent 21 years that we (the human race) have to repent before the Judgment.¹ This is just one example of a nebulous argument that, to Glenn, makes all the sense in the world, but to us, is an attempt to explain cosmic events in terms that will validate his interpretation of prophecy. As such, we are willing to wait until 2015 to see how accurate Glenn's prophetic interpretation of the Shoemaker-Levy comet is. I generally ignore Glenn's interpretation of prophecies, but I personally found his decision to use the combined prophecies of Ezekiel 4, Daniel 9 and Jeremiah 25 as "proof" of his belief that the Jubilee cycle must consist of 49 years as fanciful, but without substance. Thus, when Glenn challenged me to produce a table consisting of 430 years and containing exactly 70 Jubilee cycles of **50 years**, I thought, "This is ridiculous!" Nevertheless, June decided to give it a try, and we worked together to produce the table displayed in chapter seven. A natural consequence of our producing that table was to see whether or not Glenn would follow through on the vow that accompanied his challenge.

As you know if you read chapter seven, Glenn did more than just issue me a challenge. He plainly stated that if I could produce such a table, he would "heartily" embrace the 50-year Jubilee cycle belief and abandon the 49-year cycle belief. As a refresher, here are his exact words:

¹ When I originally composed this chapter in the spring of 2009, I hadn't reviewed any of the available information pertaining to the Shoemaker-Levy comet that struck Jupiter in 1994. However, in a November 2009 update of Part II, ch. 13, I do offer a short commentary regarding this cosmic event, including the fact that there were actually 22 comet fragments that struck Jupiter, not 21, even though most reports do mention only 21 strikes.

Here is the text of Glenn's prophetic claim, as found in his treatise entitled "Comets and Eclipses: Signs of the End," p. 14: "Today we have been given another comet as a warning concerning not only the Jewish people, but also the people of the whole world. On the 9th day of Av (July 16, 1994) Shoemaker-Levy sent a direct message to us by exhibiting 21 of the most powerful explosions ever seen in our solar system by modern man. 21 times over a period of about 6 or 7 days it sent its strikes into the largest planet in our solar system, the planet Jupiter. Each of those strikes would have been capable of destroying our entire planet, if they had been directed toward us. If we put aside all the complex interpretations and theological confusion, and forget about the secular reasoning that these are merely natural events with no real meaning, we come to one simple (yet profound) explanation for this comet. It is indeed a sign from Yahweh. The 21 strikes represent 21 years which, counting from 1994, leads us to the year 2015. The Jubilee Calendar confirms that this represents the end of the year 5999 from the Creation of this earth. "The message is clear—we have 21 years to get our act together, repent of our sins, and come out of this Babylonian system. After that time has expired, judgment comes to our world, and millions will die as a result. Within about a year from the time (by 2016 CE) the world enters into the last millennium of life on this earth, a new Messianic Era. The Messianic kingdom is established where the righteous dwell securely and those few remaining of the nations must look to Jerusalem for salvation." Glenn's entire treatise may be read in its entirety by accessing the following URL: <http://www.itsaboutthattime.net/PDF%20Files/Comets%20and%20Eclipses.pdf>.

I would like to challenge Larry to produce a table similar to the one shown above—a table which will produce exactly 70 Sabbatical/Jubilee years within a period of only 430 years (using 50 year cycles in place of 49 year cycles). If he can do this (following the exact pattern given in Scripture), then I will heartily embrace the 50 year cycles and abandon the 49 year cycles.

NOTE: To access Glenn's original rebuttal where the above challenge/vow was presented, click [here](#).

In the conclusion to his original rebuttal, Glenn expressed absolute certainty that it would not be possible for me to come up with the table that he challenged me to produce:

The only way you can come up with 70 Sabbatical/Jubilee years in 430 years is to use 49 year cycles, making the 50th year the first year of the next cycle. You simply cannot get 70 Sabbatical/Jubilee years in 430 years based upon 50 year cycles—it simply does not, cannot, and will not ever work.²

Of course, once we produced the table, Glenn altered his “rebuttal” and admitted his error, but he left out the part about having made a vow, presumably because he would like for everyone (including Yahweh?) to forget he ever wrote it. Here is what he wrote in consequence to my sending him the table:

I would here like to point out that recently I challenged Larry to produce a table similar to the one shown above—a table which would produce exactly 70 Sabbatical/Jubilee years within a period of only 430 years (using 50 year cycles in place of 49 year cycles). Amazingly, he did indeed produce such a table—based upon starting the 430 year count from a Sabbatical year just prior to a year of Jubilee!! However, if he were to start the configuration just one year later (in a year of Jubilee) it will not work, and many other similar configurations will not work. In contrast, a similar table using 49 year cycles (starting in a Sabbatical year just prior to a Jubilee year), will also produce 70 land-rest years, just as my original configuration (as illustrated above) starting in a year of Jubilee will also work. While it is apparent that it was an error on my part to say it could never be done (using 50 year cycles), it is also apparent that 49 year cycles work much better than 50 year cycles—as they will work in many different configurations, including starting the 430 years from either a Sabbatical year before a Jubilee, or a Jubilee year itself. Let us not forget that Daniels' prophecy of 70 weeks is based upon the 70 year captivity, which is itself based upon the Jubilee and Sabbatical years the Jews failed to observe while they lived in the land. If this is indeed the case (and I have presented extensive evidence here for your consideration) then it is perfectly acceptable and reasonable to use the 490 years (70 week prophecy) to determine the length of a Jubilee cycle (49 years).³

Again, I feel that I must call to the reader's attention the fact that, in Glenn's “revision,” he completely omits any reference to his previous vow to “heartily embrace the 50 year cycles and abandon the

² From page 49 of W. Glenn Moore's *original* rebuttal to our original version of this study. He entitled the rebuttal “In response to *The Jubilee Cycle*.” Shortly after we provided him with the table that he challenged us to produce, he removed this portion of his commentary and replaced his original rebuttal with what I refer to as his *revised* rebuttal. For reference purposes, we have decided to post his original study on our web site. It may be read in its entirety by accessing the following URL:

<http://www.ponderscripture.org/PDF%20Files/Jubilees%20-%20Glenns%20Original%20Rebuttal%20to%20Our%20Study%2011-01-08.pdf>.

³ From page 35 of W. Glenn Moore's *revised* rebuttal to our original version of this study. He entitled the rebuttal “In response to *The Jubilee Cycle*.” At one time, Glenn posted this study on his web site, but he removed it in the spring of 2009. For reference purposes, we have decided to post his original study on our web site. It may be read in its entirety by accessing the following URL:

<http://www.ponderscripture.org/PDF%20Files/Jubilees%20-%20Glenns%20Original%20Rebuttal%20to%20Our%20Study%2011-01-08.pdf>.

49 year cycles.” This will be a very difficult vow for Glenn to honor because, not only does it require that he *embrace* the 50-year cycles, but he must *heartily* do so. Such a “change of heart” will not come easily, unless Yahweh produces such a change in Glenn. Of course, it is clear to us that Glenn was hoping that everyone, including Yahweh, would just forget about his vow. However, as I mentioned in Part I, chapter 7, a vow is a vow. The Biblical characters of old understood the importance of keeping their vows because integrity is at stake, not only as it relates to our fellow man, but *especially* as it relates to our relationship with Yahweh. If a man cannot be trusted to honor his own words, he cannot be a servant of the Almighty.

Glenn’s refusal to honor his vow, though unbecoming of anyone claiming to be a servant of the Almighty, was not unexpected. Prior to sending Glenn our table, I asked June, “Do you think Glenn will honor his vow?” Sadly, neither of us really expected him to do so, and if there had been any question in our minds as to whether or not he was a servant of Yahweh, it was answered with Glenn’s explanation of why he will not follow through on his vow. June and I noticed Glenn’s explanation for not keeping his vow (an obvious response to our chapter seven commentary) when visiting Glenn’s web site on January 17, 2009. I have highlighted portions of his response in order to facilitate cross-referencing my answers, which will follow shortly afterwards. The following excerpt was copied from Glenn’s “Answers to Objections” page on his web site:

QUESTION: Recently, Larry Acheson on his website www.ponderscripture.org has written an extensive rebuttal against your beliefs regarding the period of the Jubilee cycles, as well as concerning the issue of date-setting. In your rebuttal to that, you even challenged him to show an example of how 70 land-rest years can be found within the 430 years (based upon 50 year cycles) predicted by Ezekiel in Ezekiel chapter 4. After he answered your challenge by showing it is *possible* to have 70 land years within that period using 50 year cycles, you admitted you were in error--but you did not follow through with your vow to accept the 50 year cycles as valid if he should do that. Why is that? As he has said, "*It is not wise to make rash vows before Yahweh.*" [See Larry's original post here: [The Jubilee Cycles](#). His revised post is located here: [The Jubilee Cycles](#).]

ANSWER: When I made this challenge to Larry, I had looked at the count of 430 years from several starting points and I assumed that I covered all the relevant possibilities. When I could find only 70 land sabbath years based on 49 year cycles, I was confident that this would work with ALL configurations. However, after issuing my challenge Larry successfully found a configuration which could work with 50 year cycles--and now **he would like for me to honor my vow that I would accept the 50 year cycles.** While it is not wise to make rash vows, it is *even worse* to follow through with such a vow which would cause one to deny the truths of Scripture. Jephthah (in Judges 11) made a rash vow, but it would have resulted in him committing a more grievous sin *if* he literally fulfilled it (the human sacrifice of his own daughter). [Some commentators believe that *he did not* actually sacrifice his own daughter, but instead commanded her to remain celibate the rest of her life.] In my own case, since this admission on my part *does not* add any true evidence in favor of the 50 year cycles, there is really not much that can be done except to acknowledge the error--for it makes no sense to follow through with a rash vow which requires one to acknowledge an *erroneous* interpretation of Scripture.

Larry scored some extra points with that particular revelation, but he is still way behind in the overall score. I have presented a wide assortment of compelling

evidence in support of my position, however, he has not satisfactorily answered the bulk of my questions. Since Chronicles 36 and Ezekiel 4 constitute the **FOUNDATION** of the prophecy of Daniel 9, and Daniel 9 is a prophecy involving 490 years--it, therefore, constitutes one more significant piece of evidence to establish the Jubilee cycles as 49 year cycles. The fact that using a limited few configurations it is *possible* to fit 50 year cycles into the 430 years of Ezekiel 4 does not negate the fact that the 70 week prophecy of Daniel 9 is based upon that prophecy (along with Chronicles 36) and is directly connected with the Jubilees--a fact confirmed by those same prophecies. Since Daniel 9 is connected to the Jubilees, the cycles represented in that prophecy **must also** be in alignment with the Jubilees (490 is 10-49 year Jubilee cycles). To ignore this, and all the other evidence I have presented, shows even *far greater* lack of wisdom than making a rash vow. See [My Response to "The Jubilee Cycles."](#)

Let me add more. There are tell-tell signs that are frequently exhibited by people when they *think* that they are doing the right thing and yet *are actually* blindly fighting against the truth. One thing that happens quite frequently is that when people are confronted with truth that they are unwilling to face, they may seek to find fault with the messenger--thus taking the heat off themselves. We need to be watching for these signs in anything that we see or hear, for they are but indicators of the fruit of their actions. Here are the signs to help us know when someone (whether myself, or another) is fighting against the truth:

"All Truth Goes Through Three Stages.
First, it is ridiculed.
Then, it is violently opposed.
Finally, it is accepted as self-evident."
-- Arthur Schopenhauer

What Arthur Schopenhauer is saying is that, when presented with truth, many of those that hear that "truth" (whatever it may be) will begin to go through three stages as they attempt to somehow deal with that truth. Those who adopt ridicule and violent opposition to someone or some idea often do so because they are resisting the truth. Those who violently oppose it and seek to "kill the messenger," show that they are in stage two of their recognition of truth. Those who do not sear their conscience and make it to stage three have no choice but to accept it as "self-evident." Sadly, many people become stuck in stage two and never make it to stage three. I have seen this type of opposition many times in my life, so when I saw this same response [stage one and *the beginnings* of stage two] being exhibited by Larry's criticism to me in emails and/or in print, it was a cause of great concern, grief and sadness to me. And it was not simply because he disagreed with me on the Jubilees or any other subject, but **the way** he did so--using sarcasm and false accusations. Faultfinding and character assassination are the tools that the world uses to gain ground on their enemies, but these are not the tools of the righteous. I will give examples of the unjust use of sarcasm and false accusations in the following question and answer segment.⁴

In response to Glenn's attempt to justify not honoring his vow, I will first issue a general response that his above attempt is unsatisfactory, at least to us. Secondly, as I mentioned prior to posting Glenn's

⁴ This quote was copied and pasted from W. Glenn Moore's "Answers to Objections" page on his web site. I highlighted the text to allow for easier cross-referencing. The entire set of questions and answers may be read by accessing the following URL: http://www.itsaboutthattime.net/broken_vows.htm.

response, I highlighted some pertinent portions of Glenn's commentary that I believe need to be answered, as follows:

1. However, after issuing my challenge Larry successfully found a configuration which could work with 50 year cycles--and now **he would like for me to honor my vow that I would accept the 50 year cycles.**

My answer: I frankly do not care whether or not Glenn honors his vow. In fact, if he is not a servant of Yahweh, then I do not expect him to do so. I would only want Glenn to honor his vow *if* he is a servant of Yahweh.

2. **While it is not wise to make rash vows, it is *even worse* to follow through with such a vow which would cause one to deny the truths of Scripture.**

My answer: Correction, Glenn: Whether you embrace the 50-year cycles or the 49-year cycles, each one is an *interpretation* that each of us respectively believes be true, but neither interpretation makes it "truth." Following through on your particular rash vow would require you to "heartily embrace the 50 year cycles and abandon the **49 year cycles,**" which is your interpretation of the truth. Certainly, anyone, such as a lunar sabbatarian who is not interested in honoring his vows, might be expected to offer the same excuse that you're giving us, presuming he felt that he could not abandon lunar sabbaths because, in his mind, Yahweh would not expect him to "deny the truths of Scripture."

Moreover, I should point out that Glenn's comment in which he wrote, "It is even worse to follow through with such a vow which would cause one to deny the truths of Scripture," is *unscriptural*. In fact, I'm wondering how far this excuse would go with Joshua son of Nun. In Joshua chapter nine we read of how the Gibeonites tricked Joshua and the Israelites into disobeying one of Yahweh's commandments. The Israelites had just destroyed the city of Ai, along with all the inhabitants. The inhabitants of Gibeon, fearing for their lives, came to the Israelites pretending to be travelers from a distant country seeking to make a covenant of peace. In Joshua 9:15, we read that Joshua made peace with them and made a covenant with them to let them live. Later, however, Joshua discovered the deception and asked, "Why did you deceive us, saying, 'We are very far from you,' when you dwell among us?"

The Gibeonites answered, "Because it was told to your servants for a certainty that Yahweh your Sovereign had commanded His servant Moses to give you all the land, and to destroy all the inhabitants of the land from before you; so we feared greatly for our lives because of you, and did this thing."

Indeed, the Gibeonites had just cause to fear for their lives, for Yahweh had strictly admonished Moses and the Israelites to utterly destroy all the inhabitants of the land that they were about to possess:

¹⁶ And you shall destroy all the peoples that Yahweh your Almighty will give over to you, your eye shall not pity them; neither shall you serve their elohim, for that would be a snare to you. -- *Deuteronomy 7:16*

As we can see from the above text, the Gibeonites had been well-informed regarding the impending doom of any inhabitants that lay in the path of the approaching Israelites, and they did the only thing they could think of to spare their lives. We can question their method and we can second-guess why they didn't just choose to convert to the worship of Yahweh, and *then* come and plead for mercy on that basis, but the fact of the matter is, they used deception to secure a vow from Joshua in order to spare their lives.

Herein lies my point in bringing up this particular vow: If Joshua had employed the reasoning brought forth by Glenn Moore, he would have said, “While it is not wise to make a rash vow like we did, it is *even worse* to follow through with such a vow which would cause us to disobey Yahweh’s command to destroy all the inhabitants of this land! You have done a wicked thing to deceive us, so you must therefore die with the other inhabitants of the land!”

Is this what Joshua told the Gibeonites? Did Joshua destroy them as a warning to all who might dare to trick the Israelites into making a covenant? No, Joshua honored the vow that he made. Notice the rest of the story, as found in Joshua 9:

¹⁶And it came to pass at the end of three days after they had made a league with them, that they heard that they were their neighbours, and that they dwelt among them.

¹⁷And the children of Israel journeyed, and came unto their cities on the third day. Now their cities were Gibeon, and Chephirah, and Beeroth, and Kirjathjearim.

¹⁸And the children of Israel smote them not, because the princes of the congregation had sworn unto them by Yahweh Almighty of Israel. And all the congregation murmured against the princes.

¹⁹But all the princes said unto all the congregation, **We have sworn unto them by Yahweh Almighty of Israel: now therefore we may not touch them.**

²⁰This we will do to them; we will even let them live, lest wrath be upon us, because of the oath which we sware unto them.

²¹And the princes said unto them, Let them live; but let them be hewers of wood and drawers of water unto all the congregation; as the princes had promised them.

²²And Joshua called for them, and he spake unto them, saying, Wherefore have ye beguiled us, saying, We are very far from you; when ye dwell among us?

²³Now therefore ye are cursed, and there shall none of you be freed from being bondmen, and hewers of wood and drawers of water for the house of my Sovereign.

²⁴And they answered Joshua, and said, Because it was certainly told thy servants, how that Yahweh thy Almighty commanded his servant Moses to give you all the land, and to destroy all the inhabitants of the land from before you, therefore we were sore afraid of our lives because of you, and have done this thing.

²⁵And now, behold, we are in thine hand: as it seemeth good and right unto thee to do unto us, do.

²⁶And so did he unto them, and delivered them out of the hand of the children of Israel, that they slew them not.

²⁷And Joshua made them that day hewers of wood and drawers of water for the congregation, and for the altar of Yahweh, even unto this day, in the place which he should choose.

As revealed by this account, Joshua son of Nun and Glenn Moore appear to have diametrically opposing views of whether or not a vow should be honored over and above *truth* (or the perception thereof). Of a truth, Joshua was commanded to utterly destroy the inhabitants of the land and to have no pity on them. Of a truth, Joshua should have sought Yahweh’s counsel *before* agreeing to the Gibeonites’ proposal. Of a truth, Joshua understood that the vow he made superseded the command to destroy the inhabitants of Gibeon.

The consequences of Glenn’s vow *pale* in comparison to the consequences of Joshua’s vow. With Joshua’s vow, lives were at stake. With Glenn’s vow, not so much. From Glenn’s perspective, “heartily

embracing the 50-year Jubilee cycle” would hardly represent a change in his lifestyle. In fact, I would ask him to share the precise impact that such a transition would have on his lifestyle. The only effect I can think of right now would be that he would have to stop teaching others that the Jubilee cycle is a 49-year cycle. Of course, since Glenn currently believes the next Jubilee year won’t occur until the year 2043⁵, he would be free to sow or reap that year (presuming the year 2043 doesn’t happen to coincide with a 50-year cycle Jubilee year). However, since Glenn believes the Millennium will have begun well before that year arrives, he should not have to worry about the year 2043. Certainly, if Yeshua is ruling the earth at that time, He would allow Glenn to continue to honor his vow by not sowing or reaping during a “50-year-cycle” Jubilee year! No big deal! Of course, this would also affect Glenn’s observance of the Sabbatical years, unless he can find a way to heartily embrace the 50-year Jubilee cycle while simultaneously observing continuously-repeating seven-year Sabbatical cycles.

There are many examples of righteous men who understood the importance of honoring the vows they made. In fact, I can think of a not-so-righteous man who understood the importance of honoring his vow. This man was Samson. Samson gave a riddle to thirty men at his wedding feast and told them that if they could solve it within the seven days of the feast, he would give them thirty sheets and thirty changes of garments. For six days, no one could solve his riddle. On the fourth day of the feast, the men persuaded Samson’s wife to coax the answer from Samson. In spite of her incessant weeping, Samson would not tell her ... until he finally gave in on the last day of the feast. When the men gave Samson the answer to his riddle, he was so angry (knowing that the men had put his wife up to coaxing the answer from him) that he went to Ashkelon and killed thirty men who resided there. He took their spoil and gave thirty changes of garments to the men who had explained the riddle. This is just another illustration of how those who lived in ancient times understood the sanctity of a vow. The act of deception on the part of those thirty men cost the lives of thirty *other* men, but Samson nevertheless *honored the vow he made*. As we mentioned in chapter seven, we believe Glenn needs to spend more time studying the importance of honoring one’s commitments and less time on the “Jubilee Countdown.”

Of course, an even *greater* example of a not-so-righteous man who understood the importance of honoring his vow is the account involving King Herod and John the Baptist. We addressed this example back in Part I, chapter seven, but it bears repeating. King Herod obviously had no affection for John the Baptist, who had bluntly informed the king that it was unlawful for him to take his brother’s wife, Herodias. Herod had him arrested and would have put him to death, but wisely opted not to do so on account of the fact that the multitude regarded John the Baptist as a prophet. Herod thus understood that killing John the Baptist would prove to be a politically unwise move.⁶ However, in Matthew 14 we read the narrative of Herodias’ daughter dancing before King Herod on his birthday, and the king was so impressed with her performance that he promised her “with an oath” anything that she desired. He didn’t count on her asking for the head of John the Baptist on a platter, but, nevertheless, that was her request. According to the Greek text, he was *distressed* (Greek *lupēō*, word #3076 in *Strong’s Greek Dictionary of the New Testament*) upon hearing Herodias’ daughter’s request. Nevertheless, *for the oath’s sake*, as well as those who were in attendance (he knew full well that his honor was at stake), he had John the Baptist beheaded. If even a heathen king understood the dishonor involved in breaking his oath, how much *more* would a true servant of the Almighty have an even *purser* discernment?

⁵ This comes from Glenn’s spreadsheet in which he displays what he believes to be the years for each Sabbatical year and Jubilee year. According to Cycle 123 of Glenn’s spreadsheet, the year 2043 is the next Jubilee year.

⁶ According to Josephus in *Antiquities of the Jews* XVIII, v, 2, King Herod suffered the loss of an entire army shortly after John the Baptist was beheaded. Josephus relates that some Jews regarded the destruction of Herod’s army as a punishment from the Almighty for the execution of John the Baptist.

Glenn certainly regards himself as a servant of the Almighty.⁷ Such being the case, I would like to put forth a challenge to Glenn (without an accompanying vow): I challenge Glenn to provide a specific Scriptural example of any *servant of Yahweh* renegeing on a vow with Yahweh's blessing to do so. One thing is for certain: *Anyone who breaks an oath or pledge is in violation of Torah.* Notice what it says in Numbers 30:1-2:

¹ Then Moses said to the heads of the tribes of the Israelites: This is what Yahweh has commanded.

² When a man makes a vow to Yahweh, or swears an oath to bind himself by a pledge, he shall not break his word; he shall do according to all that proceeds out of his mouth.

The above verse stops all arguments, unless the one doing the arguing is "fighting against the truth" (something that Glenn implies I am doing in the remaining portion of his commentary above). Glenn has already alluded to an understanding that he made a rash vow. I feel obligated, based on this mutual understanding, to remind Glenn of the fact that a true servant of Yahweh will be found honoring Yahweh by exhibiting obedience to Torah. As plainly outlined in Numbers 30:2, obedience to Torah is inclusive of honoring our vows. If Glenn is a true servant of Yahweh, he *will* honor his vow (including the "heartily" part). If he is not, then I actually hope he will continue to renege on his vow. Such actions make it easy for folks like me to identify who Yahweh's servants *aren't*! As Yeshua counseled His followers:

¹⁵ ¶ Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.

¹⁶ Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?

⁷ Glenn has established that he believes he has been called by Yahweh, presumably as a servant, to deliver his "vital message of salvation" to the world. In May of 2007, before exhibiting the need to broadcast his proposed date of when the 6,000th year of history will begin, Glenn referred to his ministry as including the sharing of "unique messages of truth" that he felt the Almighty had opened to him. By October 2008, his "unique messages of truth" had evolved into "a vital message of salvation." June and I have no problem with anyone claiming to be a servant of the Almighty, but of course, along with such a claim comes great *responsibility*, including an expectation of being a "man of his word." What follows is an excerpt from an e-mail sent out by Glenn on October 12, 2008, in which he alludes to his "calling":

"In this revision I cover some basic ground at first so as to make it clear that without a proper understanding of Yahweh and Messiah, they can never understand the atonement. And later I go into more detail showing other evidence from Scripture that say the Messiah actually did die "for himself." If anybody, including Larry or Michael, want to debate the issue with me then have at it--because I am not going to let the Assembly of Hope, Larry, or anyone else stop me from getting this **vital message of salvation** to the world. Michael might have started me down this road with his push for the oneness doctrine, and I did not expect to be doing this, but I want to thank Michael for doing it--because **it has awoken me to my true calling.** I hope it has awoken you as well.. And yes, that is my name under the heading--I have no need to hide it."

In his previous e-mail of May 2007, Glenn alluded to his perceived calling in less "vital" terms. This is an excerpt from an e-mail that Glenn sent me on May 9, 2007:

"I don't know exactly when, but I believe the Messiah is coming soon. When he comes, I DO NOT want to be found engaging in secular work!! I would much rather Him find me **using all my resources to share the unique messages of truth that He has opened to me** (and you also, and others). Otherwise, He might ask me *why* I hid my talents in the ground."

As is evident from both of his e-mails, Glenn considers himself to be a servant of the Almighty. We also witness the progression from his initial desire to share "unique messages of truth" to an urgent need to get his "vital message of salvation" to the world. His penchant for date-setting, in similar fashion, evolved from privately sharing his personal understanding, which he was fearful of making known to the general public, to a "vital message of salvation" that *must* be accepted by all.

¹⁷ Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit.

¹⁸ A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit.

¹⁹ Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.²⁰ Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them.

As truth seekers, we have a tremendous responsibility ... not only must we conduct ourselves as servants of the Almighty, but we must *also* be “fruit inspectors,” carefully inspecting the fruit of those who claim to be representatives of Yahweh. I can certainly understand how a man could make a careless vow and wish he hadn’t done such a thing, but if such a man decides to renege on the vow, then he has just exposed himself as not being a true servant of Yahweh. He has borne “evil fruit.” We have all heard of men claiming to be representatives of the Almighty, yet who demonstrated by their actions that they were not His servants. I don’t need to mention the names of well-known preachers who were trusted by thousands, even millions, of followers, but were later proven to be frauds.

I remember being invited to attend a meeting in Lewisville, Texas back in the winter of 1996, where I met the first man I have ever seen declare himself a prophet. In fact, he made it clear that if certain events didn’t come to pass by Passover of the following year, then he was a “false prophet,” adding that he would shut down his ministry. Well, his prophecy failed, and he publicly “took the heat” for it by admitting that he was a false prophet ... but because his faithful followers encouraged him to continue with his ministry, he decided to renege on his vow. His ministry continues to this day. In fact, back in 2003, over six years after his failed prophecy, June and I happened to be attending a Sukkot festival where he was one of the guest speakers. He was introduced with much fanfare and I remember that the host, in introducing him to the audience, dubbed him “the Moses of America.” The only lesson that June and I learned from this ongoing charade is the fact that the general populace really isn’t concerned about inspecting the fruit of those who claim to be representatives of the Almighty.

We all know that deception is running rampant in this day and age and will continue until Yeshua returns. Even Yeshua asked the question, “When the Son of Man comes, will He find faith on earth?” If there is to be a scarcity of faith when Yeshua returns, it follows that identifying His servants will not be an easy task because servants of Yahweh will likely be “far and few between.” One way to distinguish a servant of Yahweh from an imposter is to inspect his fruit. A servant of Yahweh will be found *honoring* His Torah, not looking for excuses to not do so. Where does Glenn Moore fit in?